

SOME PROBLEMS IN NUMBER THEORY I: THE CIRCLE PROBLEM

SYLVAIN E. CAPPELL AND JULIUS L. SHANESON

Introduction

This paper begins a series on the estimation within satisfactory tolerances of the number of character weighted lattice points in natural curved regions of Euclidean spaces and applications of the results and methods to the study of zeta- and L-functions. The study of the lattice points in a circle presents a model for the subsequent treatment of the Dirichlet and other divisor problems.

C.F. Gauss [Gaus1] [Gaus2] showed that the the number of lattice points in circles is the area, πt , up to a tolerance of order at most the circumference, i.e. the square root, $O(\sqrt{t})$. Sierpinski in 1904, following work of Voronoi [Vor] on the Dirichlet Divisor Problem, lowered the exponent in Gauss's result to $1/3$ [Sierp1] [Sierp2]. Further improvements that have been obtained will be described below. This paper provides a proof of the conjectured optimal error bound, $O(t^{\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon})$.

The present approach begins with a new asymptotic expression (9) for the number of lattice points in a circle. To obtain such an expression, one begins by embedding the integer lattice within a lattice refined by a factor Q that can be adjusted depending on the size of the circle. A convex polygon with vertices in the refined lattice approximates the circle. This number of lattice points can then be rewritten as a sum of standard trigonometric functions over the points of the refined lattice that lie within this polygon. An elementary generalized Euler-Maclaurin formula (the shortest possible such formula) for lattice sums in lattice polygons then provides an estimate of this sum in terms of certain integrals over the polygon. The lattice polytope structure permits such a formula in terms of Lebesgue measure. The integrals can in turn be approximated by integrals over circular regions; classically, such integrals yield Bessel functions. This procedure thus leads to an expression for the number of lattice points in a circle in terms of sums of Bessel functions or exponential sums. The expressions considered by Hardy, Landau and others have a similar form, but (9) differs in important features; for example, the sum is taken over lattice points in a square, whereas classically the summation pattern in asymptotic formulae for the lattice points in a circle retains the circular symmetry.

The classical approach to any such sum next calls for application of Poisson summation, followed by lots of estimates, with the goal of arriving at a situation in which

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ -TEX

the method of stationary phase applies to transform the original problem into a new one. It turns out that only a portion of the summation has relevance to proving the conjectured error estimate; the rest contributes less than the desired error. The present approach considers an expression $\mathcal{E}(t)$, defined in (10), that in fact contains a form of the crucial terms. The nature of the integrals to be summed over (m, n) suggests dividing the domain of summation into regions near and far from the circle $m^2 + n^2 = t$. The *method* of (9) and what preceeds it plays a key role in the analysis of the sum over points near the circle, for example in the proof of Prop. 3.1. The final result, (17), is a complete analytic expression for $\mathcal{E}(t)$ for a given division of the domain into near and far regions. Upon comparison of different choices of this division depending on a small enough parameter $\eta > 0$, some terms drop out and what remains provides the conjectured estimate in the error term in the circle problem.

The history of our efforts related to these problems begins in the early '90's with results on general Euler-Maclaurin expansions, including with remainder, for lattice convex polytopes, [CS4] [CS2] [S], and their relations to certain reciprocity laws for some generalized Dedekind sums, extending known connections between lattice problems and certain Dedekind sums [P]. The possibility of such applications provided an impetus for investigations of Euler-Maclaurin expansions and possible number theory connections. Our approach generalized methods we had earlier used to compute all coefficients of the Hilbert-Ehrhardt polynomials that count the number of lattice points of convex lattice polytopes [CS1]. These methods relied on our computations of the Todd classes of toric varieties, in turn based on our general formulae to compute (many kinds of) characteristic classes of singular varieties in various topological and algebraic settings [CS1] [CS3] (see also [CMS1,2], [CLMS]). Higher dimensional analogues of the Euler-MacLaurin formula will appear in subsequent papers in this series. Some other valuable modern contributions on lattice points and Euler-MacLaurin formulae from differing perspectives include [BV] [DR][K][Kh][KK][KSW][M].

A summary of earlier work: A shorter proof of the Sierpinski estimate was given by Landau [Lan2] [Lan3] in 1912, after making rigorous a heuristic geometrical method of Pfeiffer [Pfe] of 1886. Hardy [H1915] [H1916a] and Landau showed in 1915 that the error bound could not be lowered to $O(t^{1/4})$. The Hardy-Landau formula [L] for lattice points in circular regions constituted the basis of subsequent efforts. New methods of estimating exponential sums were introduced by Hermann Weyl, and by Van der Corput [VdC1] [VdC2], who in 1923 gave exponents slightly below $1/3$ for Gauss's circle problem. His estimate of $33/100$ was subsequently improved in work of his student L.W. Nieland [Nie] to $27/82 = 0.329268\dots$, which Van der Corput himself had obtained for the Dirichlet Divisor Problem. Littlewood and Walfisz [LW] shortly thereafter lowered the estimate, initially obtaining $37/112 = 0.33035\dots$, followed by further small improvements [Wa1] [Wa2] [Wa3]. Further improvements were obtained by Vinogradov 1932 to $34/106 = 0.32075\dots$, and by Titchmarsh in 1934 [Ti]. Other results obtained include Hua Loo Keng [Keng1] in 1942 of $13/40 = 0.325$; Yin Wen Lin [Lin] in 1962 and Chen Jing Run [Run] in 1963 of $12/37 = 0.324324\dots$; and Nowak [Now] in 1984 of $35/108 = 0.324074\dots$. The theory of exponential pairs gave a systematic account of some approaches to exponential sums; see [GK]. G. Kolesnik [Kol] obtained the exponent

bound of $139/429 = 0.324009324\dots$. Using methods introduced by E. Bombieri and H. Iwaniec [BI] and further development, Iwaniec and C.J.Mazzochi [IM] obtained in 1988 the then best bound of $7/22 = 0.3181818\dots$. M. Huxley [Hu1990] improved this estimate to $46/146 = .31507\dots$, and then slightly further in [Hu1996][Hu2001].

The present paper represents a considerable simplification and shortening of a version of a several years ago. We benefitted from comments from colleagues at various points, including Enrico Bombieri for surveying for us the theory of exponential pairs, Peter Sarnak on several occasions, David Kazhdan, M. Huxley, V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, Steve Shatz, and Ed Miller for a careful reading of this version in a slightly longer form.

The research is partially by a grant from DARPA.

1. An Approximate Euler MacLaurin Formula in the Plane

Let $\mathbf{Z}^2 \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ be the lattice of integer points in the plane. Let

$$\psi_1(t) = \begin{cases} t - \llbracket t \rrbracket - \frac{1}{2} & t \notin \mathbf{Z} \\ 0 & t \in \mathbf{Z} \end{cases}.$$

Let π_i , $i = 1, 2$ be projection on the first and second coordinates. If $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2)$, let $\mathbf{v}^t = (v_2, v_1)$ and $v^\perp = (-v_2, v_1)$. Let δ be the sum over all integers of Dirac δ -functions concentrated at integer points. Specifically, it will be convenient, as pointed out by S. Sternberg, to use a Gaussian approximation, i.e. to take

$$\delta = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \delta_\epsilon,$$

$$\delta_\epsilon(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\epsilon}} e^{-\frac{(t-n)^2}{2\epsilon}}.$$

If $\psi'_{1,\epsilon} = 1 - \delta_\epsilon$ and $\psi_{1,\epsilon}(0) = 0$, then $\psi_1(t) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \psi_{1,\epsilon}$. Therefore, we use the notation

$$\psi'_1 = 1 - \delta.$$

For E a line segment in the plane with integral endpoints, we assign normalized Lebesgue measure so that the interval between two adjacent lattice points has length one. If the endpoints are (a, c) and (b, d) , let λ be the greatest common divisor of $c - a$ and $b - d$ and let $(m_1, m_2) = (\frac{c-a}{\lambda}, \frac{d-b}{\lambda})$. Let

$$L(x) = \frac{m_2}{m_1}(x - a) + c.$$

Then integration over E with respect to normalized Lebesgue measure satisfies

$$\int_E f = \frac{1}{m_1} \int_a^b f(x, L(x)) dx = \frac{1}{m_2} \int_c^d f(L^{-1}(y), y) dy.$$

There is no assumption needed on the order relation of a and b or c and d . The first equality assumes the segment is not vertical, the second that it is not horizontal. This holds even for f a bounded function on L with finitely many discontinuities at each of which it possesses (finite) one sided limits f^+ and f^- , and if such an f is the limit $f = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} f_\epsilon$ of uniformly bounded continuous functions,

$$\int_E f = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_E f_\epsilon.$$

On the other hand, let

$$a_L = - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_\epsilon(t) \psi_{1,\epsilon}(\frac{m_2}{m_1}t).$$

Note that

$$\left| \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_\epsilon(t) \psi_{1,\epsilon}(\frac{m_2}{m_1}t) \right| \leq \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_\epsilon(t) dt = \frac{1}{2},$$

as $|\psi_{1,\epsilon}(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Hence $a_L \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Then for f continuous we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_E f \cdot (\delta_\epsilon \circ \pi_1) \cdot (\psi_{1,\epsilon} \circ \pi_2) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{m_1} \int_a^b f(x, Lx) \delta_\epsilon(x) \psi_{1,\epsilon}(Lx) \\ &= \frac{1}{m_1} \left[\sum_{m \in (a,b) \cap \mathbf{Z}} f(m, Lm) \psi(Lm) + a_L (f(b, Lb) - f(a, La)) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Briefly, this can be seen as follows. Let $\eta > 0$ be small. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_a^b f(Lx) \delta_\epsilon(x) \psi_{1,\epsilon}(Lx) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left[\int_a^{a+\eta} f(Lx) \delta_\epsilon(x) \psi_{1,\epsilon}(Lx) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{m \in (a,b) \cap \mathbf{Z}} \int_{m-\eta}^{m+\eta} f(Lx) \delta_\epsilon(x) \psi_{1,\epsilon}(Lx) + \int_{b-\eta}^b f(Lx) \delta_\epsilon(x) \psi_{1,\epsilon}(Lx) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

If $m \in \mathbf{Z}$, $a < m < b$ and Lm is not an integer, then

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{m-\eta}^{m+\eta} f(x, Lx) \delta_\epsilon(x) \psi_{1,\epsilon}(Lx) = f(m, Lm) \psi_1(Lx).$$

On the other hand, $\delta_\epsilon(-t) = \delta_\epsilon(t)$ and $\psi_{1,\epsilon}(-t) = -\psi_{\epsilon,1}(t)$. Hence, if $Lm \in \mathbf{Z}$,

$$\int_{m-\eta}^{m+\eta} f(x, Lx) \delta_\epsilon(x) \psi_{1,\epsilon}(Lx) = \int_0^\eta (f(m+t, L(m+t)) - f(m-t, L(m-t))) \delta_\epsilon(t) \psi_{1,\epsilon}(\frac{m_2}{m_1}t) dt.$$

Hence, given $\eta' > 0$, there is an η_m such that

$$\left| \int_{-\eta_m}^{\eta_m} (f(x+t, L(x+t)) - f(x-t, L(x-t))) \psi_{1,\epsilon}(\frac{m_2}{m_1}t) dt \right| \leq \eta' \int_{-\eta_m}^{\eta_m} \delta_\epsilon(t) |\psi_{1,\epsilon}(\frac{m_2}{m_1}t)| dt \leq \eta'.$$

Similarly, for example, at the left endpoint

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^{a+\eta} f(x, Lx) \delta_\epsilon(x) \psi_{1,\epsilon}(Lx) &= \int_0^\eta (f(a+t, L(a+t)) \delta_\epsilon(t) \psi_{1,\epsilon}(\frac{m_2}{m_1}t) dt \\ &= \int_0^\eta (f(a, La) \delta_\epsilon(t) \psi_{1,\epsilon}(\frac{m_2}{m_1}t) dt + \int_0^\eta (f(a+t, L(a+t)) - f(a, La)) \delta_\epsilon(t) \psi_{1,\epsilon}(\frac{m_2}{m_1}t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

The first expression on the right converges to $-a_L f(a, La)$, and by the same argument as for the interior integer points, there is $\eta = \eta_a > 0$ such the second expression will be less than η' in size. Similary for the right endpoint. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_E f \cdot (\delta_\epsilon \circ \pi_1) \cdot (\psi_{1,\epsilon} \circ \pi_2) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{m_1} \int_a^b f(x, Lx) \delta_\epsilon(x) \psi_{1,\epsilon}(Lx) \\ &= \frac{1}{m_1} \left[\sum_{m \in [a,b] \cap \mathbf{Z}} f(m, Lm) \psi(Lm) + a_L (f(b, Lb) - f(a, La)) + E \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$|E| \leq (b - a + 1) \eta', \text{ for any } \eta' > 0.$$

We will use the notation

$$\int_E f \cdot (\delta \circ \pi_1) \cdot (\psi_1 \circ \pi_2) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_E f \cdot (\delta_\epsilon \circ \pi_1) \cdot (\psi_{1,\epsilon} \circ \pi_2).$$

(Warning: The value of this expression depends on the specific choice of approximating continuous functions.)

Similarly, with the variables interchanged,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E f(\psi_1 \circ \pi_1) \cdot (\delta \circ \pi_2) \\ = \frac{1}{m_2} \left[\sum_{n \in [c,d] \cap \mathbf{Z}} f(L^{-1}n, n) \psi(L^{-1}n) + a_{L^{-1}} (f(L^{-1}d, d) - f(L^{-1}c, c)) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Integration in the plane will be with respect to Lebesgue measure with the unit square having unit area. Using $\psi'_1 = 1 - \delta$, we also write

$$D_{(n,m)}((\psi_1 \circ \pi_1) \cdot (\psi_1 \circ \pi_2)) = n(1 - \delta \circ \pi_1) \cdot (\psi_1 \circ \pi_2) + m(\psi_1 \circ \pi_1) \cdot (1 - \delta \circ \pi_2).$$

(1.1)Theorem. *Let Δ be a convex polytope in $\mathbf{R}^2$ with vertex points in $\mathbf{Z}^2$. Let $E_1, \dots, E_k$ be the edges of Δ , listed going counter-clockwise around the boundary, say, and let $\mathbf{n}_j \in \mathbf{Z}^2$ be the minimal integral outward vector normal to E_j . Let E_j have normalized Lebesgue measure so that the interval between two adjacent lattice point has measure one. Let f be a C^2 function on $\mathbf{R}^2$. Let*

$$\begin{aligned} T(\Delta, f) = & \int_{\Delta} f + \int_{\Delta} f_x \cdot (\psi_1 \circ \pi_1) + \int_{\Delta} f_y \cdot (\psi_1 \circ \pi_2) \\ & + \int_{\Delta} f_{xy} \cdot (\psi_1 \circ \pi_1)(\psi_1 \circ \pi_2) \\ & - \sum_{j=1}^k \pi_1 \mathbf{n}_j \int_{E_j} f \cdot (\psi_1 \circ \pi_1) - \sum_{j=1}^k \pi_2 \mathbf{n}_j \int_{E_j} f \cdot (\psi_1 \circ \pi_2) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{E_j} D_{\mathbf{n}_j^t} (f \cdot (\psi_1 \circ \pi_1) \cdot (\psi_1 \circ \pi_2)) . \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\left| \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathbf{Z}^2 \cap \Delta} f(x,y) - T(\Delta, f) \right| \leq \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathbf{Z}^2 \cap \partial \Delta} |f(x,y)| .$$

To prove this result, let

$$w : \mathbf{Z}^2 \cap \Delta \rightarrow (0, 1]$$

be a weighting of the lattice points in the polytope defined as follows: If (m, n) is in the interior of Δ , then $w(m, n) = 1$; if (m, n) lies on an edge but is not a vertex, then $w(m, n) = \frac{1}{2}$; and if (m, n) is a vertex, then $w(m, n)$ is the proportion of the area of small circle centered at (m, n) that lies within Δ . Then

$$\sum_{(x,y) \in \mathbf{Z}^2 \cap \Delta} w(x,y) f(x,y) = \int_{\Delta} f \cdot (\delta \circ \pi_1) \cdot (\delta \circ \pi_2) .$$

We may write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Delta} f \cdot (\delta_{\epsilon} \circ \pi_1) \cdot (\delta_{\epsilon} \circ \pi_2) = & \int_{\Delta} f - \int_{\Delta} f \cdot (1 - \delta_{\epsilon} \circ \pi_1) - \int_{\Delta} f \cdot (1 - \delta_{\epsilon} \circ \pi_2) \\ & + \int_{\Delta} f \cdot (1 - \delta_{\epsilon} \circ \pi_1) \cdot (1 - \delta_{\epsilon} \circ \pi_2) . \end{aligned}$$

We first integrate by parts with respect to y . Let For E_j a non-vertical edge, let (a_j, c_j) and (b_j, d_j) be the endpoints of E_j , with $a_j < b_j$. Let λ_j be the greatest common divisor of $b_j - a_j$ and $d_j - c_j$, let $\mathbf{m}_j = (m_{j,1}, m_{j,2}) = (\frac{b_j - a_j}{\lambda_j}, \frac{d_j - c_j}{\lambda_j})$ and let

$$L_j(x) = \frac{m_{j,2}}{m_{j,1}}(x - a_j) + c_j .$$

By integration by parts,

$$\int_{\Delta} f \cdot (1 - \delta_{\epsilon} \circ \pi_2) = - \int_{\Delta} f_y \cdot (\psi_{1,\epsilon} \circ \pi_2) + \sum_j \frac{\pi_2 \mathbf{n}_j}{\pi_1 \mathbf{m}_j} \int_{a_j}^{b_j} f(L_j(x)) \psi_{1,\epsilon}(L_j(x)) dx .$$

The sum is over non-vertical edges. The sign keeps track of whether $\mathbf{m}_j^{\perp}$ and $\mathbf{n}_j$ are pointing in the same or opposite directions. Hence

$$\int_{\Delta} f \cdot (1 - \delta_{\epsilon} \circ \pi_2) = - \int_{\Delta} f_y \cdot (\psi_{1,\epsilon} \circ \pi_2) + \sum_{j=1}^k \pi_2 \mathbf{n}_j \int_{E_j} f \cdot (\psi_{1,\epsilon} \circ \pi_2) .$$

Similarly

$$\int_{\Delta} f \cdot (1 - \delta_{\epsilon} \circ \pi_1) = - \int_{\Delta} f_x \cdot (\psi_{1,\epsilon} \circ \pi_1) + \sum_{j=1}^k \pi_1 \mathbf{n}_j \int_{E_j} f \cdot (\psi_{1,\epsilon} \circ \pi_1) .$$

Next, mutiply these equations by $1 - \delta_{\epsilon} \circ \pi_1$ and $1 - \delta_{\epsilon} \circ \pi_2$ and integrate the area integrals with respect to x and y , respectively. The average of these two results is

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Delta} f \cdot (1 - \delta_{\epsilon} \circ \pi_1) \cdot (1 - \delta_{\epsilon} \circ \pi_2) = \\ & \int_{\Delta} f_{xy} \cdot (\psi_{1,\epsilon} \circ \pi_1) \cdot (\psi_{1,\epsilon} \circ \pi_2) - \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{j=1}^k \int_{E_j} D_{\mathbf{n}_j^t} f \cdot (\psi_{1,\epsilon} \circ \pi_1) \cdot (\psi_{1,\epsilon} \circ \pi_2) \right. \\ & \left. - \sum_{j=1}^k \pi_1 \mathbf{n}_j \int_{E_j} f \cdot (\psi_{1,\epsilon} \circ \pi_1) \cdot (1 - \delta_{\epsilon} \circ \pi_2) - \sum_{j=1}^k \pi_2 \mathbf{n}_j \int_{E_j} f \cdot (1 - \delta_{\epsilon} \circ \pi_1) \cdot (\psi_{1,\epsilon} \circ \pi_2) \right] . \end{aligned}$$

The last two terms can be combined as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^k \pi_1 \mathbf{n}_j \int_{E_j} f \cdot (\psi_{1,\epsilon} \circ \pi_1) \cdot (1 - \delta_{\epsilon} \circ \pi_2) + \sum_{j=1}^k \pi_2 \mathbf{n}_j \int_{E_j} f \cdot (1 - \delta_{\epsilon} \circ \pi_1) \cdot (\psi_{1,\epsilon} \circ \pi_2) \\ & = \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{E_j} f \cdot D_{\mathbf{n}_j^t} ((\psi_{1,\epsilon} \circ \pi_1) \cdot (\psi_{1,\epsilon} \circ \pi_2)) . \end{aligned}$$

and then the product rule for derivatives and letting ϵ go to zero finish the proof.

- Notes:** 1. Convexity is not being used in any essential way.
2. Clearly there is an exact formula

$$\sum_{(x,y) \in \mathbf{Z}^2 \cap \Delta} w(x,y) f(x,y) = T(\Delta, f)$$

for the weighted sum introduced in the proof. This is the first, and shortest, of a family or Euler-MacLaurin formulae that can be obtained from repeated applications of the same argument and, essentially, integration by parts, all to appear in [CS 4]. Our earlier approaches used our study of the topology of toric varieties (see [CS 2][S]).

2. Lattice Points in the Circle

Let $P(t)$ denote the number of integral points (m, n) in the disk D_t in the plane of radius $\sqrt{t}$, i.e. the number of lattice points satisfying

$$m^2 + n^2 \leq t.$$

(2.1) THEOREM. *For any $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$P(t) = \pi t + O(t^{\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon}).$$

It is known [L] that it is not true that $P(t) - \pi t$ is $O(t^{\frac{1}{4}})$.

In this section we will derive a new formula for the number of lattice points in a circle.

Let $Q > 1$ be an odd integer, and let $\Delta = \Delta_{t,Q}$ be the polytope spanned by the integral points satisfying

$$\left(\frac{m}{Q}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{n}{Q}\right)^2 \leq t.$$

Let $R = \frac{Q-1}{2}$ and let

$$f(x, y) = \frac{1}{Q^2} \sum_{p=-R}^R \sum_{q=-R}^R \exp \frac{2\pi i}{Q} (px + qy), \quad (1)$$

Then

$$P(t) = \sum_{\Delta \cap \mathbf{Z}^2} f(x, y). \quad (2)$$

In this paper R will be the greatest integer in $t^{\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon}$, but the results of this section are valid for general Q with the caveat that in (2.2) there would be an additional error of $\frac{t}{Q^3}$.

It is well known that a circle of radius at most $\sqrt{t}$ that has any lattice points on it has less than $O(t^\epsilon)$ lattice points. Furthermore, for n a positive integer,

$$\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{n-1} \geq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}},$$

and it is easy to see that the boundary of Δ lies between the circle of radius $\sqrt{t} - \frac{1}{Q}$ and the circle of radius $\sqrt{t}$. (For example, if (a, b) is a lattice point in the interior of the first quadrant and at most $Q\sqrt{t} - 1$ units from the origin, then the triangle with vertices (a, b) , $(a+1, b)$, $(a, b+1)$ and the three unit squares with (a, b) as a vertex and not containing this triangle all lie within $Q\Delta$.) Hence

$$|P(t) - T(\Delta, f)| \ll \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}{Q}, \quad (3)$$

the right side being an estimate on the number of lattice points within a radial distance $\frac{1}{Q}$ of the circle of radius $\sqrt{t}$. (In the sequel we use the notation $f \ll g$ and $|f| \leq O(g)$ interchangeably.)

A non-horizontal edge E_j has the description $E_j = \{(L_j y, y) | c_j \leq y \leq d_j\}$, L_j a linear function, and a non-vertical edge the description $E_j = \{(x, M_j x) | a_j \leq x \leq b_j\}$. Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \pi_1 \mathbf{n}_j \int_{E_j} f \cdot (\psi_1 \circ \pi_1) = \sum_j \frac{1}{Q^2} \sum_{p,q=-R}^R \frac{\pi_1 \mathbf{n}_j}{|\pi_1 \mathbf{n}_j|} \int_{c_j}^{d_j} e\left(\frac{pL_j y + qy}{Q}\right) \psi_1(L_j y) dy,$$

the sum on the right being over the non-horizontal edges. Here and in the sequel $e(t) = \exp(2\pi i t)$. Similarly

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \pi_2 \mathbf{n}_j \int_{E_j} f \cdot (\psi_1 \circ \pi_2) = \sum_j \frac{1}{Q^2} \sum_{p,q=-R}^R \frac{\pi_2 \mathbf{n}_j}{|\pi_2 \mathbf{n}_j|} \int_{a_j}^{b_j} e\left(\frac{px + qM_j x}{Q}\right) \psi_1(M_j x) dx,$$

over the non-vertical edges, and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{E_j} D_{\mathbf{n}_j^t} (f \cdot (\psi_1 \circ \pi_1) \cdot (\psi_1 \circ \pi_2)) = \\ \sum_j \frac{1}{Q^2} \sum_{p,q=-R}^R \frac{2\pi i q}{Q} \cdot \frac{\pi_1 \mathbf{n}_j}{|\pi_1 \mathbf{n}_j|} \int_{c_j}^{d_j} e\left(\frac{pL_j y + qy}{Q}\right) \psi_1(y) \psi_1(L_j y) dy \\ + \sum_j \frac{1}{Q^2} \sum_{p,q=-R}^R \frac{2\pi i p}{Q} \cdot \frac{\pi_2 \mathbf{n}_j}{|\pi_2 \mathbf{n}_j|} \int_{a_j}^{b_j} e\left(\frac{px + qM_j x}{Q}\right) \psi_1(x) \psi_1(M_j x) dx \\ \sum_j \frac{1}{Q^2} \sum_{p,q=-R}^R \frac{\pi_1 \mathbf{n}_j}{|\pi_1 \mathbf{n}_j|} \int_{c_j}^{d_j} e\left(\frac{pL_j y + qy}{Q}\right) \psi_1(L_j y) (1 - \delta(y)) dy \\ + \sum_j \frac{1}{Q^2} \sum_{p,q=-R}^R \frac{\pi_2 \mathbf{n}_j}{|\pi_2 \mathbf{n}_j|} \int_{a_j}^{b_j} e\left(\frac{px + qM_j x}{Q}\right) \psi_1(M_j x) (1 - \delta(x)) dx \end{aligned}$$

the first and third sum also over the non-horizontal edges and the second and fourth over the non-vertical ones.

We assert that

$$\left| \sum_j \frac{1}{Q^2} \sum_{p,q=-R}^R \frac{\pi_1 \mathbf{n}_j}{|\pi_1 \mathbf{n}_j|} \int_{c_j}^{d_j} e\left(\frac{pL_j y + qy}{Q}\right) \psi_1(L_j y) \delta(y) dy \right| \ll \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \ln Q}{Q}$$

$$\left| \sum_j \frac{1}{Q^2} \sum_{p,q=-R}^R \frac{\pi_2 \mathbf{n}_j}{|\pi_2 \mathbf{n}_j|} \int_{a_j}^{b_j} e\left(\frac{px + qM_j x}{Q}\right) \psi_1(M_j x) \delta(x) dx \right| \ll \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \ln Q}{Q}.$$

We can assume without loss of generality that every edge lies in a quadrant, and consider for example the second sum in the first quadrant. Let E be the union of the first quadrant edges and $M : [a, b] \rightarrow [c, d]$ the union of the parameterizations M_j of these edges. Then we have to consider, for example,

$$\sum_j \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{q=-R}^R \sum_{n \in Q\mathbf{Z} \cap [a, b]} e\left(\frac{qMn}{Q}\right) \tilde{\psi}_1(Mn) = \sum_j \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{q=-R}^R \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z} \cap [\hat{a}, \hat{b}]} e(q\hat{M}n) \tilde{\psi}_1(Q\hat{M}n),$$

with $\hat{a} = \frac{a}{Q}$, etc., $\hat{M}(x) = Q^{-1}M(Qx)$, and $\tilde{\psi}_1(Mn) = \psi_1(Mn)$ if (n, Mn) is on the interior of an edge and $\tilde{\psi}_1(Mn) = a_{M_j} - a_{M_{j+1}}$ at the indicated vertex, except for the obvious modification at a and at b .

Let N be an integer $0 \leq N \leq R+1$, recall $Q = 2R+1$. Let P_N consist of those $n \in \mathbf{Z} \cap [\hat{a}, \hat{b}]$ such there is a nearest integer m to $\hat{M}(n)$ (there might be two equidistant integers) with

$$\frac{N}{Q} \leq |\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{m^2 + n^2}| \leq \frac{N+1}{Q}.$$

Clearly,

$$\mathbf{Z} \cap [\hat{a}, \hat{b}] = \bigcup_0^{R+1} P_N.$$

As already noted concerning the number of lattice points in an annular strip of thickness $\frac{1}{Q}$, the number of elements $|P_N|$ is $O((\sqrt{t} + 1)^{1+2\epsilon} Q^{-1}) = O(t^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} Q^{-1})$. Vertical distance to a circle is greater than radial distance, so if $n \in P_N$ and m is the corresponding nearest integer to $\hat{M}(n)$, then $|\hat{M}(n) - m| \geq \frac{N-1}{Q}$; this also uses the fact noted above that the boundary of Δ lies within an annulus around the circle of radius $\sqrt{t}$ of thickness $\frac{1}{Q}$. By summation of geometric series, it follows that for $n \in P_N$ with $N \geq 2$,

$$\frac{1}{Q} \left| \sum_{q=-R}^R e(q\hat{M}n) \right| \leq \frac{1}{N-1},$$

and this expression is always less than 1. Since $|\tilde{\psi}_1(Q\hat{M}n)| \leq 1$, the term we are estimating will be at most

$$|P_0| + |P_1| + \sum_2^{N+1} \frac{|P_N|}{N-1} \ll \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \ln Q}{Q},$$

which is our assertion.

Let $\Lambda = \Lambda_{t,Q} = Q^{-1}\Delta$ be the polytope spanned by the points of

$$\mathbf{Z}[1/Q] \oplus \mathbf{Z}[1/Q] \cap \{x^2 + y^2 \leq t\}.$$

Then, with $\hat{L}_j y = Q^{-1}L_j(Qy)$ and $\hat{M}_j x = Q^{-1}M_j(Qx)$, as above,

$$\begin{aligned} T(\Delta, f) = & \sum_{p,q} \left[\int_{\Lambda} e(px + qy) + \frac{2\pi ip}{Q} \int_{\Lambda} e(px + qy) \psi_1(Qx) \right. \\ & + \frac{2\pi iq}{Q} \int_{\Lambda} e(px + qy) \psi_1(Qy) - \frac{4\pi^2 pq}{Q^2} \int_{\Lambda} e(px + qy) \psi_1(Qx) \psi_1(Qy) \\ & - \sum_j \frac{3}{2Q} \frac{\pi_1 \mathbf{n}_j}{|\pi_1 \mathbf{n}_j|} \int_{\frac{c_j}{Q}}^{\frac{d_j}{Q}} e(p\hat{L}_j y + qy) \psi_1(Q\hat{L}_j y) dy \\ & - \sum_j \frac{3}{2Q} \frac{\pi_2 \mathbf{n}_j}{|\pi_2 \mathbf{n}_j|} \int_{\frac{a_j}{Q}}^{\frac{b_j}{Q}} e(px + q\hat{M}_j x) \psi_1(Q\hat{M}_j x) dx \\ & - \sum_j \frac{\pi iq}{Q^2} \frac{\pi_1 \mathbf{n}_j}{|\pi_1 \mathbf{n}_j|} \int_{\frac{c_j}{Q}}^{\frac{d_j}{Q}} e(p\hat{L}_j y + qy) \psi_1(Qy) \psi_1(Q\hat{L}_j y) dy \\ & \left. - \sum_j \frac{\pi ip}{Q^2} \frac{\pi_2 \mathbf{n}_j}{|\pi_2 \mathbf{n}_j|} \int_{\frac{a_j}{Q}}^{\frac{b_j}{Q}} e(px + q\hat{M}_j x) \psi_1(Qx) \psi_1(Q\hat{M}_j x) dx \right] + O\left(\frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}{Q}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

As Q will less than a positive fractional exponent of t , the factor $\ln Q$ has been absorbed in the exponent of the error.

Let $F(t, Q)$ be given by the same formula, but with the domain of integration replaced by the disk D_t of radius $\sqrt{t}$ and the boundary with the circle of this radius; thus

$$\begin{aligned}
F(t, Q) = & \sum_{p,q} \left[\int_{D_t} e(px + qy) + \frac{2\pi ip}{Q} \int_{D_t} e(px + qy) \psi_1(Qx) \right. \\
& + \frac{2\pi iq}{Q} \int_{D_t} e(px + qy) \psi_1(Qy) - \frac{4\pi^2 pq}{Q^2} \int_{D_t} e(px + qy) \psi_1(Qx) \psi_1(Qy) \\
& - \frac{3}{2Q} \int_{-\sqrt{t}}^{\sqrt{t}} e(p\sqrt{t-y^2} + qy) \psi_1(Q\sqrt{t-y^2}) dy \\
& + \frac{3}{2Q} \int_{-\sqrt{t}}^{\sqrt{t}} e(-p\sqrt{t-y^2} + qy) \psi_1(-Q\sqrt{t-y^2}) dy \\
& - \frac{3}{2Q} \int_{-\sqrt{t}}^{\sqrt{t}} e(px + q\sqrt{t-x^2}) \psi_1(Q\sqrt{t-x^2}) dx \\
& + \frac{3}{2Q} \int_{-\sqrt{t}}^{\sqrt{t}} e(px - q\sqrt{t-x^2}) \psi_1(-Q\sqrt{t-x^2}) dx \\
& - \frac{\pi iq}{Q^2} \int_{-\sqrt{t}}^{\sqrt{t}} e(p\sqrt{t-y^2} + qy) \psi_1(Qy) \psi_1(Q\sqrt{t-y^2}) dy \\
& + \frac{\pi iq}{Q^2} \int_{-\sqrt{t}}^{\sqrt{t}} e(-p\sqrt{t-y^2} + qy) \psi_1(Qy) \psi_1(-Q\sqrt{t-y^2}) dy \\
& - \frac{\pi ip}{Q^2} \int_{-\sqrt{t}}^{\sqrt{t}} e(px + q\sqrt{t-x^2}) \psi_1(Qx) \psi_1(Q\sqrt{t-x^2}) dx \\
& \left. + \frac{\pi ip}{Q^2} \int_{-\sqrt{t}}^{\sqrt{t}} e(px - q\sqrt{t-x^2}) \psi_1(Qx) \psi_1(-Q\sqrt{t-x^2}) dx \right]. \tag{5}
\end{aligned}$$

(2.2)PROPOSITION. *For any given $\epsilon > 0$ and $Q \leq \sqrt{t}$,*

$$|T(\Delta, f) - F(t, Q)| \ll \frac{t^{1/2+\epsilon}}{Q} \ln^2 Q.$$

Proof of (2.2). We start with the area integrals. For these, let A be the region between the boundary of Λ on the inside and the boundary of D_t on the outside.

For $j = 0, 1$ (this actually holds in general)

$$\frac{1}{Q^j} \left| \sum_{p=-R}^R p^j \exp(2\pi ipx) \right| \ll \min\{Q, \|x\|^{-1}\},$$

where $\|x\|$ is the distance from x to the nearest integer. As is well-known, this holds for $j = 0$ by summation of a geometric series. For $j = 1$,

$$\sum_1^N p \exp(2\pi ipx) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \exp(2\pi i j x) \sum_{p=1}^{N-j} \exp(2\pi ipx),$$

from which the result follows using the previous case. It will be enough for the area integrals to show that

$$\int_A \min\{Q, \|x\|^{-1}\} \min\{Q, \|y\|^{-1}\} \ll \left(\frac{t^{1/2+\epsilon}}{Q} + t^{1/4+\epsilon}\right) \ln^2 Q. \quad (*)$$

Note that for M an integer and $M \leq a < b \leq M+1$,

$$\int_a^b \min\{Q, \|x\|^{-1}\} dx \leq 2 \int_M^{M+1/Q} Q dx + 2 \int_{M+1/Q}^{M+1/2} \frac{dx}{x-M} \ll \ln Q.$$

Hence, for B a unit square with lattice point vertices, the estimate

$$\int_{A \cap B} \min\{Q, \|x\|^{-1}\} \min\{Q, \|y\|^{-1}\} \leq \int_B \min\{Q, \|x\|^{-1}\} \min\{Q, \|y\|^{-1}\} \ll \ln^2 Q.$$

Let β be the collection of all unit squares having a vertex within distance $\frac{1}{Q}$ of the region A . By the same considerations as for (2) above, the number of lattice points within this distance of A is at most

$$O\left(\frac{(\sqrt{t} + Q^{-1})^{1+2\epsilon}}{Q}\right) = O\left(\frac{t^{1/2+\epsilon}}{Q}\right),$$

for small (e.g. less than $\frac{1}{2}$) ϵ . Therefore, if

$$C_0 = A \cap \bigcup_{\beta} B,$$

then

$$\int_{C_0} \min\{Q, \|x\|^{-1}\} \min\{Q, \|y\|^{-1}\} \ll \left(\frac{t^{1/2+\epsilon}}{Q}\right) \ln^2 Q.$$

On the other hand, let B a unit square with lattice point vertices, such that $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ stays at least a distance a^{-1} from the vertices, $a \geq Q$.

Then we assert that

$$\int_{A \cap B} \min\{Q, \|x\|^{-1}\} \min\{Q, \|y\|^{-1}\} \ll \frac{a}{Q} \ln Q, \quad (*)$$

Let (m_B, n_B) be the coordinates of the vertex of B closest to the origin. We will show why this holds for $0 \leq m_B \leq n_B$, i.e. the diagonal of B lies in the part of the first quadrant above the line $y = x$, and leave other cases to the reader.

Let

$$B_1 = [m_B, m_B + 1] \times [n_B + \frac{1}{2a}, n_B + 1 - \frac{1}{2a}].$$

The vertical thickness of $A \cap B$ over any point on the x -axis is at most $Q\sqrt{2}$, since A is obviously contained in an annulus of thickness $\frac{1}{Q}$. (Actually the vertical thickness is $\frac{1}{Q}$; see below). Therefore,

$$\int_{A \cap B_1} \min\{Q, \|x\|^{-1}\} \min\{Q, \|y\|^{-1}\} \leq \frac{2a}{Q} \int_{m_B}^{m_B+1} \min\{Q, \|x\|^{-1}\} dx \ll \frac{a}{Q} \ln Q.$$

If $A \cap B \subset A \cap B_1$, the assertion is established for this square B . If not, then there are two possibilities: The region A , moving from left to right, enters this square on the left vertical edge, crossing through $\{m_B\} \times [n_B + \frac{1}{a}, n_B + 1 - \frac{1}{a}]$ and exits through the lower horizontal edge, crossing through $[m_B + \frac{1}{a}, m_B + 1 - \frac{1}{a}] \times \{n_B\}$; or it enters through $[m_B + \frac{1}{a}, m_B + 1 - \frac{1}{a}] \times \{n_B + 1\}$ and exits through $\{m_B + 1\} \times [n_B + \frac{1}{a}, n_B + 1 - \frac{1}{a}]$. In either case, it is not difficult to see that $m_B \geq 1$. (Look at the positive x -value on the circle for $y = \sqrt{t - (\sqrt{t} - \frac{1}{Q})^2}$.)

Consider the first possibility. It is an exercise to show that the horizontal length of $A \cap B$ opposite a point on the y -axis is at most $\frac{1}{Q}$. (Show that the tangent to the circle at a point (z, x) with $x \geq 1$ crosses through the line $y = z - \frac{1}{Q}$ at a point at most a horizontal distance at most $\frac{1}{Q}$ from the circle.) Let $A_y = A \cap (\mathbf{R} \times \{y\})$. Let

$$B_2 = [m_B, m_B + \frac{1}{2a}] \times [n_B, n_B + \frac{1}{2a}].$$

The region A cannot intersect $[m_B, m_B + \frac{1}{2a}] \times [n_B, n_B + \frac{1}{2a}]$ nor can it intersect $[m_B + 1 - \frac{1}{2a}] \times [n_B, n_B + \frac{1}{2a}]$. Hence

$$\int_{A \cap B_2} \min\{Q, \|x\|^{-1}\} \min\{Q, \|y\|^{-1}\} \leq \int_{n_B}^{n_B + \frac{1}{a}} \min\{Q, \|y\|^{-1}\} \int_{A_y} a dx dy \ll \frac{a}{Q} \ln Q.$$

The second possibility is similar, and this proves the inequality (*).

Let A' be the annulus of (radial) thickness $\frac{1}{Q}$ with the same outer boundary as A . $A \subset A'$. For $a > b \geq Q$, the number of lattice points with distance from either the inner edge or the outer edge of A' in the interval $[a^{-1}, b^{-1}]$ is at most $O(t^{1/2+\epsilon}(b^{-1} - a^{-1}))$. Hence, if $\beta(a, b)$ is the collection of unit squares with lattice point vertices with the closest vertex to A in this set of lattice points, and

$$C(a, b) = A \cap \bigcup_{\beta(a, b)} B,$$

then

$$\int_{C(a, b)} \min\{Q, \|x\|^{-1}\} \min\{Q, \|y\|^{-1}\} \ll \ln Q \left[\frac{t^{1/2+\epsilon}}{Q} \left(\frac{a}{b} - 1 \right) \right].$$

Let $N = N(\epsilon)$ be the largest integer such that $Qt^{-N\epsilon} > 1$. Then

$$A = C_0 \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^N C(Qt^{-(k-1)\epsilon}, Qt^{-k\epsilon}) \cup C(Qt^{-N\epsilon}, 1).$$

Hence

$$\int_A \min\{Q, \|x\|^{-1}\} \min\{Q, \|y\|^{-1}\} \ll N(\epsilon) \frac{t^{1/2+2\epsilon}}{Q} \ln^2 Q.$$

With ϵ replaced by 2ϵ , this completes the proof of (2.2) for the area integrals.

Next we consider the boundary integrals. Let

$$\psi^{(N)}(x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{|n|=1}^N \frac{e(nx)}{n}$$

be the indicated truncation of the Fourier series of ψ . Then it is well known that

$$\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} |\psi^{(N)}(x) - \psi_1(x)| \ll N^{-1}.$$

Also, the length of $\partial\Lambda$ is $O(\sqrt{t})$; this can also be proven using the above remark on tangents. This means that we can replace $\psi_1(\pm Q\sqrt{t-y^2})$ with $\psi^{(N)}(\pm Q\sqrt{t-y^2})$ and $\psi_1(\pm Q\sqrt{t-x^2})$ with $\psi^{(N)}(\pm Q\sqrt{t-x^2})$, in the integrals we are considering, with an error no greater than $\frac{\sqrt{t}}{Q}$, provided $N \geq Q^2$. (This follows by estimating the exponential times $\psi_1(\pm Qx)$ or $\psi_1(\pm Qy)$ by unity; by instead summing up a geometric series we could reduce N to Q at the cost of at this point multiplying the error by $\ln Q$.)

Let E_j be a non-horizontal edge. E_j will necessarily lie entirely on one side of the y -axis, say on the positive side. Considering one of the boundary terms, for example, we have (including the case $n=0$)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\frac{c_j}{Q}}^{\frac{d_j}{Q}} e((p+nQ)\sqrt{t-y^2} + qy) \psi_1(Qy) dy - \int_{\frac{c_j}{Q}}^{\frac{d_j}{Q}} e((p+nQ)\hat{L}_j y + qy) \psi_1(Qy) dy \\ &= \int_{\frac{c_j}{Q}}^{\frac{d_j}{Q}} \int_{L_j y}^{\sqrt{t-y^2}} 2\pi i (p+nQ) e((p+nQ)x + qy) \psi_1(Qy) dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\bar{c}}^{\bar{c}} e(p\sqrt{t-y^2} + qy) \psi_1(Q\sqrt{t-y^2}) \psi_1(Qy) dy \\ & - \sum_j \int_{\frac{c_j}{Q}}^{\frac{d_j}{Q}} e(p\hat{L}_j y + qy) \psi_1(Q\hat{L}_j y) \psi_1(Qy) dy \\ &= \sum_{|n|=1}^{Q^2} \frac{1}{n} \int_{A_+} 2\pi i (p+nQ) e((p+nQ)x + qy) \psi_1(Qy) + O\left(\frac{\sqrt{t}}{Q}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Here the sum is over edges to the right of the y -axis, $\bar{c}$ is the greatest rational number that is at most $\sqrt{t}$ and has denominator dividing Q , and A_+ is the part of A to the

right of the y -axis and with $-\bar{c} \leq y \leq \bar{c}$. Notice that the error in replacing $\sqrt{t}$ with $\bar{c}$ is trivially at most $O(1)$.

The argument for the desired estimate is now the same as for the area integrals above, modified as follows:

$$\sum_{|n|=1}^{Q^2} \sum_{p=-R}^R \frac{p+nQ}{nQ} e((p+nQ)x) = \sum_{|n|=1}^{Q^2} \frac{e(nQx)}{n} \sum_{p=-R}^R \frac{p}{Q} e(px) + \sum_{|n|=1}^{Q^2} e(nQx) \sum_{p=-R}^R e(px).$$

For the first term,

$$\left| \sum_{|n|=1}^{\infty} \frac{e(nQx)}{n} \sum_{p=-R}^R \frac{p}{Q} e(px) \right| \ll \min\{Q, \|x\|^{-1}\} \ln Q,$$

as above except for the extra factor of $\ln Q$.

For the second term, within a unit interval we have that $\frac{e(Qx/2)-e(-Qx/2)}{e(x/2)-e(-x/2)}$ has total variation $O(Q \ln Q)$. Hence, by integration by parts

$$\left| \int_{m_B}^{m_B+1} \sum_{|n|=1}^{Q^2} e(nQx) \sum_{p=-R}^R e(px) \right| \ll \sum_{|n|=1}^{Q^2} \frac{\ln Q}{n} \ll \ln^2 Q,$$

which is what is needed for the argument above for the integrals over $A \cap B_1$. On the other hand, on an interval of length $\frac{1}{Q}$ the total variation will be at most four times the maximum modulus (the derivative changes sign at most twice) and so in the argument for $A \cap B_2$ we have

$$\left| \int_{A_y} \sum_{|n|=1}^{Q^2} e(nQx) \sum_{p=-R}^R e(px) \right| \ll \sum_{|n|=1}^{Q^2} \frac{a}{nQ} \ll \frac{a}{Q} \ln Q.$$

Thus we get the same result up to an extra factor of $\ln Q$.

Other boundary terms are dealt with in the same way. This completes the argument for (2.2)

The Fourier series

$$\psi_1(t) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_1^{\infty} \frac{\sin 2\pi \nu t}{\nu} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_1^{\infty} \frac{e(\nu t) - e(-\nu t)}{\nu} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{|\nu|=1}^{\infty} \frac{e(\nu t)}{\nu}$$

can be substituted for ψ_1 in (5). Then the explicit integration formula

$$\int_{-\sqrt{t}}^{\sqrt{t}} e(p\sqrt{t-y^2} + qy) dy - \int_{-\sqrt{t}}^{\sqrt{t}} e(-p\sqrt{t-y^2} + qy) dy = 2\pi i p \int_{D_t} e(px + qy) dx dy$$

and the same thing with respect to y can be used to replace the boundary terms by area integrals. Some terms cancel, so that what results is the formula

$$F(t, Q) = \sum_{p, q=-R}^R \left[\int_{D_t} e(px + qy) - \frac{1}{2Q} \sum_{|\mu|, |\nu|=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p}{\mu} + \frac{q}{\nu} \right) \int_{D_t} e((p + \mu Q)x + (q + \nu Q)y) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{3}{2} \sum_{|\mu|=1}^{\infty} \int_{D_t} e((p + \mu Q)x + qy) + \frac{3}{2} \sum_{|\nu|=1}^{\infty} \int_{D_t} e(px + (q + \nu Q)y) \right]. \quad (6)$$

Obviously the third and fourth term are equal when summed over p and q , so that

$$F(t, Q) = \sum_{p, q} \left[\int_{D_t} e(px + qy) + 3 \sum_{|\mu|=1}^{\infty} \int_{D_t} e((p + \mu Q)x + qy) \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{2Q} \sum_{|\mu|, |\nu|=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p}{\mu} + \frac{q}{\nu} \right) \int_{D_t} e((p + \mu Q)x + (q + \nu Q)y) \right]. \quad (7)$$

So up to an error of $O(t^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}Q^{-1})$, this give an expression for the number of lattice points in the circle.

(2.3) Proposition.

$$P(t) = \sum_{p, q=-R}^R \left[\int_{D_t} e(px + qy) + 3 \sum_{|\mu|=1}^{\infty} \int_{D_t} e((p + \mu Q)x + (q + \nu Q)y) \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{2Q} \sum_{|\mu|, |\nu|=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p}{\mu} + \frac{q}{\nu} \right) \int_{D_t} e((p + \mu Q)x + (q + \nu Q)y) \right] + O(t^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}Q^{-1}). \quad (8)$$

A finite expansion can be obtained by substituting $\psi^{(N)}$ in (5) for ψ_1 , instead of the full Fourier expansion. To estimate the resulting error, we have, as a typical example, with ϕ either ψ or $\psi^{(N)}$,

$$\int_{D_t} e(px + qy) \phi(Qy) (\psi(Qx) - \psi^{(N)}(Qx)) = \sum_{|\mu| > N} \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{D_t} \phi(y) e(qy) e((p + \mu Q)x) \\ = \int_{-\sqrt{t}}^{\sqrt{t}} \phi(y) e(qy) \int_{-\sqrt{t-y^2}}^{\sqrt{t-y^2}} e((p + \mu Q)x) dx dy.$$

For $|p| \leq R$, by explicit integration

$$\left| \int_{-\sqrt{t-y^2}}^{\sqrt{t-y^2}} e((p + \mu Q)x) dx \right| \ll \frac{1}{|\mu|Q}.$$

It follows that

$$\left| \int_{D_t} e(px + qy) \phi(Qy) (\psi(Qx) - \psi^{(N)}(Qx)) \right| \ll \frac{\sqrt{t}}{NQ}.$$

Therefore, with similar considerations with the roles of x and y reversed, the error in the fourth term on the right of (5) by using the truncated Fourier series will be at most $O(\frac{Q\sqrt{t}}{N})$. The other terms are dealt with similarly. In particular we have:

(2.4) Proposition. *Let $N \geq Q^2$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} P(t) = & \sum_{p,q=-R}^R \left[\int_{D_t} e(px + qy) + 3 \sum_{|\mu|=1}^N \int_{D_t} e((p + \mu Q)x + (q + \nu Q)y) \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{2Q} \sum_{|\mu|, |\nu|=1}^N \left(\frac{p}{\mu} + \frac{q}{\nu} \right) \int_{D_t} e((p + \mu Q)x + (q + \nu Q)y) \right] + O(t^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} Q^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (8')$$

This is not the best possible result, $N = Q$ is good enough, but an analogue of (2.4) will suffice in the sequel. We will not derive the better result in this setting, but will illustrate by translating what we have done so far into classical terms. However, the rest of this section will play no role in the sequel.

We recall a little from the theory of Bessel functions.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D_t} e(ax + by) &= \int_0^{\sqrt{t}} r \int_0^{2\pi} e(ar \cos \theta + br \sin \theta) d\theta dr \\ &= \int_0^{\sqrt{t}} r \int_0^{2\pi} e(r\sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \sin(\theta + \tau)) d\theta dr, \end{aligned}$$

with $\sin \tau = \frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}$ and $\cos \tau = \frac{b}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}$. Hence, by [W, 2.2 (p 20), (7)], the evenness of J_0 (e.g. [W, 2.2, (2)]), and [W, 2.12, (5)],

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D_t} e(ax + by) &= 2\pi \int_0^{\sqrt{t}} r J_0(2\pi r \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}) dr \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{t}{a^2 + b^2}} J_1(2\pi \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
F(t, Q) = & \sum_{p, q=-R}^R \left[\sqrt{\frac{t}{p^2 + q^2}} J_1(2\pi \sqrt{t(p^2 + q^2)}) \right. \\
& + 3 \sum_{|\mu|=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{t}{(p + \mu Q)^2 + q^2}} J_1(2\pi \sqrt{t((p + \mu Q)^2 + q^2)}) \\
& \left. - \frac{1}{2Q} \sum_{|\mu|, |\nu|=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p}{\mu} + \frac{q}{\nu} \right) \sqrt{\frac{t}{(p + \mu Q)^2 + (q + \nu Q)^2}} J_1(2\pi \sqrt{t((p + \mu Q)^2 + (q + \nu Q)^2)}) \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The term in (9) corresponding to $p = q = 0$ is the area,

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \sqrt{\frac{t}{a}} J_1(2\pi \sqrt{ta}) = \pi t.$$

In the sum over μ inside the brackets, we can restrict to $\mu \leq Q$, (or $\leq R$) without increasing the overall error beyond $O(t^{\frac{1}{4}})$. For the last sum in the brackets, restricting to $\mu, \nu \leq Q$ adds an error of $O(t^{\frac{1}{4}} \ln t)$. To prove the second statement, for example, recall $|J_1(z)| \ll |z|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. It follows that the truncation error will be at worst

$$t^{\frac{1}{4}} R^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\mu \text{ or } \nu > Q} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{1}{\nu} \right) \frac{1}{(\mu^2 + \nu^2)^{\frac{3}{4}}}.$$

To estimate the sum, it suffices to consider the case $\nu \geq \mu$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{\mu \text{ or } \nu > Q \\ \nu \geq \mu}} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{1}{\nu} \right) \frac{1}{(\mu^2 + \nu^2)^{\frac{3}{4}}} \\
&= \sum_{\nu \geq \mu > Q} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{1}{\nu} \right) \frac{1}{(\mu^2 + \nu^2)^{\frac{3}{4}}} + \sum_{\mu \leq Q, \nu > Q} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{1}{\nu} \right) \frac{1}{(\mu^2 + \nu^2)^{\frac{3}{4}}} \\
&\ll \sum_{\nu \geq \mu > Q} \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{1}{\nu^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \sum_{\mu \leq Q, \nu > Q} \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{1}{\nu^{\frac{3}{2}}} \ll \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q}} + \frac{\ln Q}{\sqrt{Q}},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies the desired estimate.

From just the above, plus the well known expression of Bessel functions in terms of trigonometric functions, classical estimates on exponential sums lead quickly to the corresponding classical results on the circle problem. For example, the trivial estimates yield upon setting $Q = t^{1/6}$ the result

$$P(t) = \pi t + O(t^{\frac{1}{3} + \epsilon}).$$

In general for (k, l) an exponential pair (see [GK]), we get

$$P(t) = \pi t + O(t^{\frac{l+k}{2l+1} + \epsilon}).$$

If (k, l, k, l) is an exponential quadruple (conjecturely all pairs give such) then a two-dimensional dyadic subdivision argument gives the improvement

$$P(t) = \pi t + O(t^{\frac{2k+2l-1}{4l-2}+\epsilon}).$$

For example, the known pair $(1/30, 26/30)$ leads by the two methods to the known exponents $27/82 + \epsilon$ and $12/37 + \epsilon$ respectively, in the error term. However, in the sequel we do not use deep results on exponential pairs.

3. A Summation, Near the Circle

We will begin the actual proof of the main result by considering a certain expression. Let $\mathcal{J}$ denote the set of integers (m, n) with

$$0 \leq m \leq \sqrt{\frac{t}{2}} \quad , \quad \sqrt{2} \leq n \leq 2\sqrt{t}.$$

The expression is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(t) = & \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathcal{J}} \frac{t}{mn} \int_1^R \int_0^\pi r^{-\frac{1}{2}} v(\theta) (\sin \theta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos \theta \cos 2\pi(r(\sqrt{t} \csc \theta + m \cot \theta - n) - \frac{1}{8}) d\theta dr. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Here v is a smooth bump function that is unity for $\frac{\pi}{4} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ and zero for $0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{8}$ and $\frac{3\pi}{4} \leq \theta \leq \pi$. Most results to follow will be true for general Q and R but when needed it will be assumed $R = \llbracket t^{\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon} \rrbracket$.

We will expand the inner integral $I = I(r, m, n)$ using the stationary phase result as given in [H, 7.7.5]. The simplest way to do this is to set

$$\csc \theta = \cosh x \quad \cot \theta = \sinh x.$$

Then $d\theta = \operatorname{sech} x \, dx$ and

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v_1(x) r^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tanh x \operatorname{sech}^{\frac{3}{2}}(x) \cos 2\pi(r(\sqrt{t-m^2} \cosh(x-A) - n) - \frac{1}{8}) dx.$$

In this expression, $v_1(x) = v(\theta)$ and

$$e^A = \frac{\sqrt{t} + m}{\sqrt{t - m^2}}.$$

Clearly

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} r^{-\frac{1}{2}} v_1(x+A) \tanh(x+A) \operatorname{sech}^{\frac{3}{2}}(x+A) \cos 2\pi(r(\sqrt{t-m^2} \cosh x - n) - \frac{1}{8}) dx.$$

From [H, 7.7.5], I will be the real part $I = \Re I_1$ of

$$I_1 = \frac{e(r(\sqrt{t-m^2} - n))}{r(t-m^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \equiv 0(2)}}^{N-1} r^{-j} (t-m^2)^{-\frac{j}{2}} \sum_{\substack{\nu-\mu=j \\ 2\nu \geq 3\mu}} i^{-j} 2^{-\nu} D^{2\nu-3\mu} (\tanh \cdot (\operatorname{sech})^{\frac{3}{2}})(-A) \\ + O(r^{-N+\frac{1}{2}}(t-m^2)^{-\frac{N}{2}}). \quad (11)$$

(There are only even j in the summation because odd derivatives of $\cosh$ vanish at zero.) In particular,

$$I = \frac{m\sqrt{t-m^2}}{rt^{\frac{5}{4}}} \cos 2\pi r(\sqrt{t-m^2} - n) + O(r^{-\frac{5}{2}}(t-m^2)). \quad (12)$$

As a consequence

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathcal{J}} \frac{\sqrt{t-m^2}}{t^{\frac{1}{4}}n} \int_1^R \cos 2\pi r(\sqrt{t-m^2} - n) \frac{dr}{r} + O(\ln^2 t). \quad (13)$$

We will need some summation results related to the expression that appears in (13).

(3.1) Proposition. *Let $\eta > 0$. Let α be the greatest integer in $\sqrt{t/2}$. Let $L = L(t, \eta)$ denote be the region in the plane with $0 \leq x \leq \alpha$ and*

$$|y - \sqrt{t-x^2}| \leq \llbracket t^\eta \rrbracket = \tau.$$

Then

$$\sum_{(m,n) \in L} \frac{\sqrt{t-m^2}}{t^{\frac{1}{4}}n} \int_1^R \cos 2\pi r(\sqrt{t-m^2} - n) \frac{dr}{r} = \\ \frac{2\alpha}{t^{\frac{1}{4}}\pi} \int_1^R \sin 2\pi(r \llbracket t^\eta \rrbracket) \frac{dr}{r^2} + t^{-\frac{1}{4}} \mathcal{L}(R, t) + O(t^{2\eta+\epsilon}).$$

Notes: 1. The result is stated and proved for $R = \llbracket t^{\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon} \rrbracket$. In general, the error would have an extra factor of $\frac{t^{\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon}}{R}$.

2. The essential feature of the term $\mathcal{L}(R, t)$ is its independence of η . However, we will actually obtain the following expression for this term ($N \geq Q^2$ or even $N \geq Q$, see (2.4)):

$$\mathcal{L}(t, R) = \sum_{p, q \neq 0} \sum_{|\mu|=0}^N \int_0^\alpha \frac{\cos 2\pi((p + \mu Q)x + q\sqrt{t-x^2})}{|q|} dx.$$

The proof of (3.1) will involve the application of the following form of the classical Euler-MacLaurin formula:

$$\sum_{a < p \leq b} \phi(p) = \int_a^b \phi(z) dz + \int_a^b \phi(z)' \psi(z) dz + \psi(a)\phi(a) - \psi(b)\phi(b) .$$

Here

$$\psi(t) = t - \llbracket t \rrbracket - \frac{1}{2} .$$

The first integral on the right will be referred to as the principal term, the second as the remainder term, and last two expressions as the endpoint terms.

Let L' differ from L by removal of the curve $y = \sqrt{t - x^2} - \tau$. Let

$$\Sigma = \sum_{(m,n) \in L'} \int_1^R \cos(2\pi r F(m,n)) \frac{dr}{r} ,$$

with

$$F(x, y) = y - \sqrt{t - x^2} .$$

Then it is not difficult to see that $t^{-\frac{1}{4}}\Sigma$ differs from the sum of (2.5) that we are studying by at most $O(t^\eta)$.

More generally, let $-\llbracket t^\eta \rrbracket - 1 \leq d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \llbracket t^\eta \rrbracket + 1$ and let $L(d_1, d_2)$ be the set of points (x, y) in the plane satisfying the above condition on x and

$$d_1 < y - \sqrt{t - x^2} \leq d_2 .$$

Let

$$\Sigma(d_1, d_2) = \sum_{(m,n) \in L(d_1, d_2)} \int_1^R \cos(2\pi r F(m, n)) \frac{dr}{r} .$$

We now apply the above form of the classical Euler-MacLaurin formula to y -summation in this expression. The endpoint terms obtained in this way and summed over m provide a contribution to $\Sigma(d_1, d_2)$ of

$$\sum_m \psi(y) \int_1^R \cos(2\pi r F(m, y)) \frac{dr}{r} \Big|_{\sqrt{t-m^2}+d_2}^{\sqrt{t-m^2}+d_1} .$$

This will be considered later.

The principal term in y -summation produces a contribution

$$\sum_m \int_{\sqrt{t-m^2}+d_2}^{\sqrt{t-m^2}+d_1} \int_1^R \cos(2\pi r F(m, y)) \frac{dr}{r} dy .$$

It is easy to see that the endpoint terms for this x -summation will amount to no more than $O(\ln R(d_2 - d_1))$, and the principal term will be

$$\int_{L(d_1, d_2)} \int_1^R \cos(2\pi r F(x, y)) \frac{dr}{r} dx dy .$$

This will also be considered later, and we will now look at the remainder term for this x -summation, which will be (with a change of order of integration)

$$2\pi \int_1^R \int_{L(d_1, d_2)} \psi(x) F_x(x, y) \sin(2\pi r F(x, y)) dx dy dr .$$

The part of $L(d_1, d_2)$ not contained in the cone given in polar coordinates by

$$\tan^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{t - \alpha^2}}{\alpha} = \theta_0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$$

is contained in a triangle of area at most $(d_2 - d_1)^2$. Since F_x as well as the other factors of the inner integral have modulus at most one, it follows that removing this region affects the entire expression by an error of at most $R(d_1 - d_2)^2$. On the other hand, the boundary curves of the intersection of $L(d_1, d_2)$ with this cone have the polar coordinate equations

$$\rho = d_1 \sin \theta + \sqrt{t - d_1^2 \cos^2 \theta} = \sqrt{t} + d_1 \sin \theta + O\left(\frac{d_i^2}{\sqrt{t}}\right) .$$

Therefore, again as the modulus of the integrand is less than unity, if K is described in polar co-ordinates by

$$\tan^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{t - \alpha^2}}{\alpha} = \theta_0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$$

and

$$\sqrt{t} + d_1 \sin \theta \leq \rho \leq \sqrt{t} + d_2 \sin \theta ,$$

then replacement of $L(d_1, d_2)$ by K affects the integral by at most an error a constant multiple of $R(\max d_i^2)$. Therefore, we may consider instead

$$\int_1^R \int_{\theta_0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{\sqrt{t} + d_1 \sin \theta}^{\sqrt{t} + d_2 \sin \theta} \psi(\rho \cos \theta) \frac{\rho \cos \theta}{\sqrt{t - \rho^2 \cos^2 \theta}} e(\rho \sin \theta - \sqrt{t - \rho^2 \cos^2 \theta}) \rho d\rho d\theta dr ,$$

switching to complex coordinates.

However, in the domain of integration,

$$\rho \sin \theta - \sqrt{t - \rho^2 \cos^2 \theta} = \csc \theta \cdot (\rho - \sqrt{t}) + \frac{\cot^2 \theta \csc \theta}{\sqrt{t}} (\rho - \sqrt{t})^2 + O\left(\frac{\max |d_i|^3}{t}\right)$$

and

$$\frac{\rho \cos \theta}{\sqrt{t - \rho^2 \cos^2 \theta}} = \cot \theta + O\left(\frac{\max |d_i|}{\sqrt{t}}\right).$$

Therefore, up to errors of

$$O(R^2(d_2 - d_1) \max\{|d_i|^3, d_i^2\} t^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \quad \text{and} \quad O(R(d_2 - d_1) \max |d_i|),$$

it suffices to consider instead

$$\int_1^R \int_{\theta_0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{\sqrt{t}+d_1 \sin \theta}^{\sqrt{t}+d_2 \sin \theta} \psi(\rho \cos \theta) \cot \theta e(r \csc \theta \cdot (\rho - \sqrt{t}) + rt^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cot^2 \theta \csc \theta \cdot (\rho - \sqrt{t})^2) \rho d\rho d\theta dr.$$

Up to an error of at most a constant multiple of $R(d_2 - d_1)$ (using $\rho \approx \sqrt{t}$ and $\theta_0 \geq \frac{\pi}{4}$), this is the same as

$$\sqrt{t} \int_1^R \int_{\theta_0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{d_1 \sin \theta}^{d_2 \sin \theta} \psi((\sqrt{t} + z) \cos \theta) (\cot \theta) e(rz \csc \theta + rt^{-\frac{1}{2}} z^2 \cot^2 \theta \csc \theta) dz d\theta dr.$$

To estimate this integral, we plug in the Fourier expansion

$$\psi_1(x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{e(px) - e(-px)}{p}.$$

Therefore, we can look at

$$\sum_{|p|=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{t}}{2\pi i p} \int_1^R \int_{\theta_0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{d_1 \sin \theta}^{d_2 \sin \theta} (\cot \theta) e(rz \csc \theta + rt^{-\frac{1}{2}} z^2 \cot^2 \theta \csc \theta + p(z + \sqrt{t}) \cos \theta) dz d\theta dr.$$

However, the θ -derivative of the phase function is large (note $\frac{\pi}{4} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ in the range of integration); in fact,

$$|-rz \csc \theta \cot \theta - rt^{-\frac{1}{2}} z^2 (2 \cot \theta \csc^2 \theta + \cot^3 \theta \csc \theta) + p(\sqrt{t} + z) \sin \theta| \gg |p| \sqrt{t}.$$

Therefore, by integration by parts with respect to θ , followed by trivial estimates with respect to the other variables, this sum will be at most a constant multiple of

$$R(d_2 - d_1) \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^2}.$$

Hence the remainder term for y -summation of the principal terms for x -summation is estimated as at most a constant multiple of

$$R(d_2 - d_1) + R(d_2 - d_1)^2 + R^2(d_2 - d_1) \max\{|d_i|^3, d_i^2\} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} + R(d_2 - d_1) \max |d_i| + R \max d_i^2.$$

For ϵ and η small enough, e.g., $\epsilon + 2\eta \leq \frac{3}{8}$, and $|d_i| \ll t^\eta$, this will be at worst $O(t^{\frac{1}{4} + \epsilon + 2\eta})$.

Next, since $F_y \equiv 1$, the remainder term from y -summation will be

$$\begin{aligned} -2\pi \sum_m \int_1^R \int_{\sqrt{t-m^2}+d_1}^{\sqrt{t-m^2}+d_2} \psi(y) \sin(2\pi r F(m, y)) dy dr \\ = -2\pi \sum_m \int_1^R \int_{d_1}^{d_2} \psi(\sqrt{t-m^2} + z) \sin(2\pi r z) dz dr \\ = 2\pi \sum_m \int_{d_1}^{d_2} \frac{\psi(\sqrt{t-m^2} + z)}{z} (\cos 2\pi R z - \cos 2\pi z) dz. \end{aligned}$$

Summing up, so far we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma(d_1, d_2) &= \sum_m \psi(y) \int_1^R \cos(2\pi r F(m, y)) \frac{dr}{r} \Big|_{\sqrt{t-m^2}+d_2}^{\sqrt{t-m^2}+d_1} \\ &+ \int_{L(d_1, d_2)} \int_1^R \cos(2\pi r F(x, y)) \frac{dr}{r} dx dy \\ &+ 2\pi \sum_m \int_{d_1}^{d_2} \frac{\psi(\sqrt{t-m^2} + z)}{z} (\cos 2\pi R z - \cos 2\pi z) dz + O(t^{\frac{1}{4} + \epsilon + 2\eta} (d_2 - d_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the first term on the right vanishes if $d_1 = -d_2$.

To analyze the last term in the above expression for $\Sigma(d_1, d_2)$, the remainder term from y -summation, let

$$A(z) = \int_0^\alpha (\sqrt{t-x^2} + z) dx$$

be the area of the region $\mathcal{A}(z)$ under the curve $y = \sqrt{t-x^2} + z$ and let $L(z)$ be the number of lattice points in the region bounded by this curve, the x -axis, and the lines $x = 0$ and $x = \alpha$. Then, by trapezoidal approximation,

$$A(z) = \frac{\sqrt{t} + z}{2} + \sum_{m=1}^{\alpha-1} (\sqrt{t-m^2} + z) + \frac{\sqrt{t-\alpha^2} + z}{2} + O(1),$$

and

$$L(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\alpha} \llbracket \sqrt{t-m^2} + z \rrbracket + \alpha + 1.$$

Hence

$$\sum_m \psi(\sqrt{t-m^2}+z) = A(z) - L(z) + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \sqrt{t} + \sqrt{t-\alpha^2} + 2z) + O(1) .$$

We now indicate the application of a slight variant of our method of sections 1 and 2 to study the number of lattice points $L(z)$ in the closed region $\mathcal{A}(z)$ bounded by the curve

$$y = \sqrt{t-x^2} + z ,$$

the lines $x = 0$, $x = \alpha$ and the x -axis. The notation of section 1 will be used. Let w be a weighting of the lattice points in $\mathcal{A}(z)$ given as the limit as the radius goes to zero of the proportion of area of a small circle around the lattice point that lies within $\mathcal{A}(z)$. Let

$$L'(z) = \sum_{\mathbf{Z}^2 \cap \mathcal{A}(z)} w(x, y) .$$

Let

$$f(x, y) = \frac{1}{Q^2} \sum_{p, q=-R}^R e\left(\frac{px+qy}{Q}\right) .$$

Then

$$L'(z) = \int_{\Delta} f \cdot (\delta \circ \pi_1) \cdot (\delta \circ \pi_2) .$$

As in the proof of (1.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q\mathcal{A}(z)} f \cdot (\delta_\epsilon \circ \pi_1) \cdot (\delta_\epsilon \circ \pi_2) &= \int_{Q\mathcal{A}(z)} f - \int_{Q\mathcal{A}(z)} f \cdot (1 - \delta_\epsilon \circ \pi_1) - \int_{Q\mathcal{A}(z)} f \cdot (1 - \delta_\epsilon \circ \pi_2) \\ &\quad + \int_{Q\mathcal{A}(z)} f \cdot (1 - \delta_\epsilon \circ \pi_1) \cdot (1 - \delta_\epsilon \circ \pi_2) . \end{aligned}$$

By integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q\mathcal{A}(z)} f \cdot (1 - \delta_\epsilon \circ \pi_1) &= - \int_{Q\mathcal{A}(z)} f_x \cdot \psi_{1,\epsilon} \circ \pi_1 + \int_0^{Q(\sqrt{t-\alpha^2}+z)} f(x, y) \psi_{1,\epsilon}(x) \Big|_{x=0}^{Q\alpha} dy \\ &\quad + \int_{Q(\sqrt{t-\alpha^2}+z)}^{Q(\sqrt{t}+z)} f(x, y) \psi_{1,\epsilon}(x) \Big|_{x=0}^{\sqrt{Q^2 t - (y-Qz)^2}} dy . \end{aligned}$$

The second integral vanishes and the third one evaluated at the lower limit is $O(\ln Q)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q\mathcal{A}(z)} f \cdot (1 - \delta_\epsilon \circ \pi_1) &= \sum_{p,q=-R}^R \left[-\frac{2\pi ip}{Q} \int_{\mathcal{A}(z)} e(px + qy) \psi_{1,\epsilon}(Qx) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{Q} \int_{\sqrt{t-\alpha^2}+z}^{\sqrt{t}+z} e(p\sqrt{t-(y-z)^2} + qy) \psi_{1,\epsilon}(Q\sqrt{t-(y-z)^2}) dy \right] + O(\ln Q). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q\mathcal{A}(z)} f \cdot (1 - \delta_\epsilon \circ \pi_2) &= \sum_{p,q=-R}^R \left[-\frac{2\pi iq}{Q} \int_{\mathcal{A}(z)} e(px + qy) \psi_{1,\epsilon}(Qy) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{Q} \int_0^\alpha e(px + q(\sqrt{t-x^2} + z)) \psi_{1,\epsilon}(Q(\sqrt{t-x^2} + z)) dx \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the y -integration by parts that leads to this with $1 - \delta_\epsilon \circ \pi_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q\mathcal{A}(z)} f \cdot (1 - \delta_\epsilon \circ \pi_1) \cdot (1 - \delta_\epsilon \circ \pi_2) &= - \int_{Q\mathcal{A}(z)} f_y \cdot \psi_{1,\epsilon} \circ \pi_2 \cdot (1 - \delta_\epsilon \circ \pi_1) \\ &\quad + \int_0^{Q\alpha} f(x, \sqrt{t-x^2} + z) \psi_{1,\epsilon}(Q\sqrt{Q^2t-x^2} + Qz) (1 - \delta_\epsilon(x)) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to the above, integrating the first term on the right by parts with respect to x ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q\mathcal{A}(z)} f \cdot (1 - \delta_\epsilon \circ \pi_1) \cdot (1 - \delta_\epsilon \circ \pi_2) &= \\ &\sum_{p,q=-R}^R \left[-\frac{4\pi^2 pq}{Q^2} \int_{\mathcal{A}(z)} e(px + qy) \psi_{1,\epsilon}(Qx) \psi_{1,\epsilon}(Qy) \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{2\pi iq}{Q^2} \int_{\sqrt{t-\alpha^2}+z}^{\sqrt{t}+z} e(p\sqrt{t-(y-z)^2} + qy) \psi_{1,\epsilon}(Qy) \psi_{1,\epsilon}(Q\sqrt{t-(y-z)^2}) dy \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{Q} \int_0^\alpha e(px + q(\sqrt{t-x^2} + z)) \psi_{1,\epsilon}(Q(\sqrt{t-x^2} + z)) dx \right] \\ &\quad - \int_0^{Q\alpha} f(x, \sqrt{t-x^2} + z) \psi_{1,\epsilon}(\sqrt{Q^2t-x^2} + Qz) \delta_\epsilon(x) dx + O(\ln t). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to the polytope case, upon taking the limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, the expression

$$\int_0^{Q\alpha} f(x, \sqrt{t-x^2}+z) \psi_{1,\epsilon}(\sqrt{Q^2t-x^2}+Qz) \delta_\epsilon(x) dx$$

will become

$$\frac{1}{Q} \sum_{m=0}^{\alpha} \sum_{q=-R}^R e(q(\sqrt{t-m^2}+z)) \tilde{\psi}_1(Q(\sqrt{t-m^2}+z)).$$

Here $\tilde{\psi}_1(Q(\sqrt{t-m^2}+z)) = \psi_1(Q(\sqrt{t-m^2}+z))$ for $0 < m < \alpha$, and at the endpoints of the range of summation the value will still be at most $\frac{1}{2}$ in absolute value.

We will now estimate the contribution of this term to the remainder term from y -summation that is under study, i.e., we want to estimate

$$\frac{1}{Q} \sum_{m=0}^{\alpha} \sum_{q=-R}^R \int_{d_1}^{d_2} e(q(\sqrt{t-m^2}+z)) \tilde{\psi}_1(Q(\sqrt{t-m^2}+z)) (\cos 2\pi Rz - \cos 2\pi z) \frac{dz}{z}.$$

By summation of geometric series,

$$\left| \sum_{q=-R}^R e(q(\sqrt{t-m^2}+z)) \right| \leq \min\{Q, \|\sqrt{t-m^2}+z\|^{-1}\},$$

and

$$\left| \frac{\cos 2\pi Rz - \cos 2\pi z}{z} \right| \ll \min\{Q, |z|^{-1}\}.$$

Hence our contribution will be at most a constant multiple of

$$E = \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{m=0}^{\alpha} \int_{d_1}^{d_2} \min\{Q, \|\sqrt{t-m^2}+z\|^{-1}\} \min\{Q, |z|^{-1}\} dz.$$

For $-R-1 \leq N \leq R$, let P_N denote that set of integers $m \in [0, \alpha]$ for which there exists an integer m with

$$\frac{N}{Q} < \sqrt{m^2+n^2} - \sqrt{t} \leq \frac{N+1}{Q}.$$

Each m is contained in least one such set; hence

$$E \leq \frac{1}{Q} \sum_N \sum_{m \in P_N} \int_{d_1}^{d_2} \min\{Q, \|\sqrt{t-m^2}+z\|^{-1}\} \min\{Q, |z|^{-1}\} dz.$$

The vertical distance of a lattice point to a circle is larger than the difference of the distance of the point to the origin and the radius of the circle, and for any interval of integration of unit length or less, it follows similarly to considerations in section 2 that

$$\int_a^b \min\{|z - \lambda|^{-1}, Q\} \min\{|z|^{-1}, Q\} dz \leq \frac{\ln Q}{\lambda}.$$

From this and the fact that the number of elements of P_N is $O(t^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}Q^{-1})$, it follows that for $N \geq 2$,

$$\sum_{m \in P_N} \int_{d_1}^{d_2} \min\{Q, \|\sqrt{t - m^2} + z\|^{-1}\} \min\{Q, |z|^{-1}\} dz \leq |N - 1|^{-1} t^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon+\eta}.$$

On the other hand, for $|N| \leq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \in P_N} \int_{d_1}^{d_2} \min\{Q, \|\sqrt{t - m^2} + z\|^{-1}\} \min\{Q, |z|^{-1}\} dz &\ll \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}{Q} \int_{d_1}^{d_2} \min\{Q^2, z^{-2}\} dz \\ &\leq \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}{Q} \left(\int_{-\frac{2}{Q}}^{\frac{2}{Q}} Q^2 dz + 2 \int_0^{\max |d_i|} \frac{dz}{z^2} \right) \ll t^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon+\eta}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, absorbing a factor of $\ln Q$ into t^ϵ ,

$$E \ll \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon+\eta}}{Q}.$$

We have

$$L(z) = L'(z) + \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{t} + \alpha + \sqrt{t - \alpha^2} + 2z) + O(1),$$

and it is also not hard to see that errors $O(1)$ contribute at most $O(\ln Q)$ to the remainder terms from y -summation under study. Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ in the above expressions, we then get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_m \int_{d_1}^{d_2} \frac{\psi(\sqrt{t-m^2}+z)}{z} (\cos 2\pi Rz - \cos 2\pi z) dz = - \int_{d_1}^{d_2} \left(\sum_{\substack{p,q=-R \\ (p,q) \neq (0,0)}}^R \int_{\mathcal{A}(z)} e(px+qy) \right. \\
& + \sum_{p,q=-R}^R \left[\frac{2\pi ip}{Q} \int_{\mathcal{A}(z)} e(px+qy) \psi_1(Qx) \right. \\
& - \frac{1}{Q} \int_{\sqrt{t-\alpha^2}+z}^{\sqrt{t}+z} e(p\sqrt{t-(y-z)^2}+qy) \psi_1(Q(\sqrt{t-(y-z)^2})) dy \\
& + \frac{2\pi iq}{Q} \int_{\mathcal{A}(z)} e(px+qy) \psi_1(Qy) \\
& + -\frac{4\pi^2 pq}{Q^2} \int_{\mathcal{A}(z)} e(px+qy) \psi_1(Qx) \psi_1(Qy) \\
& \left. \left. - \frac{2\pi iq}{Q^2} \int_{\sqrt{t-\alpha^2}+z}^{\sqrt{t}+z} e(p\sqrt{t-(y-z)^2}+qy) \psi_1(Q(\sqrt{t-(y-z)^2})) \psi_1(Qy) dy \right] \right) dz \\
& + O\left(\frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon+\eta}}{Q}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

As in section 2, ψ_1 can be replaced by the partial Fourier expansion

$$\psi^*(t) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{|\mu|=1}^{Q^2} \frac{e(\mu t)}{\mu}$$

without increasing the error. By explicit integrations,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\sqrt{t-\alpha^2}+z}^{\sqrt{t}+z} e((p+\mu Q)\sqrt{t-(y-z)^2}+qy) dy \\
& = \frac{p+\mu Q}{q} \int_0^\alpha e((p+\mu Q)x+q(\sqrt{t-x^2}+z)) dx \\
& \quad - \frac{p+\mu Q}{q} \int_0^\alpha e((p+\mu Q)x+q(\sqrt{t-\alpha^2}+z)) dx + \int_{\sqrt{t-\alpha^2}+z}^{\sqrt{t}+z} e(qy) dy,
\end{aligned}$$

for $q \neq 0$. The last two terms are $O(q^{-1})$, by integration by parts. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\sqrt{t-\alpha^2}+z}^{\sqrt{t}+z} e((p+\mu Q)\sqrt{t-(y-z)^2}+(q+\nu Q)y) dy \\
& = \frac{p+\mu Q}{q+\nu Q} \int_0^\alpha e((p+\mu Q)x+(q+\nu Q)(\sqrt{t-x^2}+z)) dx + O((q+\nu Q)^{-1}),
\end{aligned}$$

and, for any p, q with $q \neq 0$,

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}(z)} e(px + qy) = \frac{1}{2\pi i q} \int_0^\alpha e(px + q(\sqrt{t - x^2} + z)) dx + O(q^{-1}).$$

Finally, also for any p ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{d_1}^{d_2} \int_{\mathcal{A}(z)} e(px) \frac{\cos 2\pi Rz - \cos 2\pi z}{z} dz &= \int_0^\alpha e(px) dx \int_{d_1}^{d_2} (\cos 2\pi Rz - \cos 2\pi z) dz \\ &+ \int_0^\alpha e(px) \sqrt{t - x^2} dx \int_{d_1}^{d_2} \frac{\cos 2\pi Rz - \cos 2\pi z}{z} dz. \end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right always vanishes, as does the second, under the assumption $d_1 = -d_2$. Hence, upon substituting the partial Fourier series and making this assumption, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_m \int_{d_1}^{d_2} \frac{\psi(\sqrt{t - m^2} + z)}{z} (\cos 2\pi Rz - \cos 2\pi z) dz &= \\ - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{d_1}^{d_2} \left(\sum_{\substack{p, q = -R \\ q \neq 0}}^R \sum_{|\mu|=0}^{Q^2} \frac{1}{q} \int_0^\alpha e((p + \mu Q)x + q(\sqrt{t - x^2} + z)) dx \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{p, q = -R}^R \sum_{|\mu|=0}^{Q^2} \sum_{\nu=1}^{Q^2} \frac{q}{Q\nu(q + Q\nu)} \int_0^\alpha e((p + \mu Q)x + (q + \nu Q)(\sqrt{t - x^2} + z)) dx \right) \\ \cdot \frac{\cos 2\pi Rz - \cos 2\pi z}{z} dz + O\left(\frac{t^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon + \eta}}{Q} + Q \ln Q\right). \end{aligned}$$

It now follows that for $d_2 = -d_1$ and $d_1 = \gamma \in \mathbf{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_m \int_{d_1}^{d_2} \frac{\psi(\sqrt{t - m^2} + z)}{z} (\cos 2\pi Rz - \cos 2\pi z) dz \\ = -\frac{1}{\pi} \mathcal{B}(\gamma) + O\left(\frac{t^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon + \eta}}{Q} + Q \ln Q\right), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}(\gamma) = & \sum_{\substack{p,q \\ q \neq 0}} \sum_{\substack{|\mu|=0 \\ |\nu|=1}}^{Q^2} \int_0^\alpha \cos 2\pi((p + \mu Q)x + q\sqrt{t - x^2})dx \cdot \int_0^\gamma \frac{\sin 2\pi qz}{qz} \left[\cos 2\pi Rz - \cos 2\pi z \right] dz \\
& - \sum_{p,q} \sum_{\substack{|\mu|=0 \\ |\nu|=1}}^{Q^2} \frac{q}{Q\nu} \int_0^\alpha \cos 2\pi((p + \mu Q)x + (q + \nu Q)\sqrt{t - x^2})dx \cdot \\
& \cdot \int_0^\gamma \frac{\sin 2\pi(q + \nu Q)z}{(q + \nu Q)z} \left[\cos 2\pi Rz - \cos 2\pi z \right] dz.
\end{aligned}$$

In general,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\gamma \frac{\sin 2\pi \lambda z}{z} \cos 2\pi \mu z dz &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\gamma \frac{\sin 2\pi z(\lambda + \mu)}{z} dz + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\gamma \frac{\sin 2\pi z(\lambda - \mu)}{z} dz \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (\text{Si}(2\pi(\lambda + \mu)\gamma) + \text{Si}(2\pi(\lambda - \mu)\gamma)).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\mathcal{B}(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{B}_+(\gamma) + \mathcal{B}_-(\gamma)),$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_\pm(\gamma) = & \sum_{\substack{p,q=-R \\ q \neq 0}}^R \sum_{\substack{|\mu|=0 \\ |\nu|=1}}^{Q^2} \int_0^\alpha \frac{\cos 2\pi((p + \mu Q)x + q\sqrt{t - x^2})}{q} dx \cdot \\
& \cdot \left[\text{Si}(2\pi(q \pm R)\gamma) - \text{Si}(2\pi(q \pm 1)) \right] \\
& + \sum_{p,q=-R}^R \sum_{\substack{|\mu|=0 \\ |\nu|=1}}^{Q^2} \frac{q}{Q\nu} \int_0^\alpha \frac{\cos 2\pi((p + \mu Q)x + (q + \nu Q)\sqrt{t - x^2})}{q + \nu Q} dx \cdot \\
& \cdot \left[\text{Si}(2\pi(q + \nu Q \pm R)\gamma) - \text{Si}(2\pi(q + \nu Q \pm 1)) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

The function $\text{Si}(x)$ is odd, bounded, and, for positive x ,

$$\text{Si}(x) = \frac{\pi}{2} + O(\max\{1, x^{-1}\}).$$

It therefore follows that

$$\mathcal{B}(\gamma) = \mathcal{L}(t, R) + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}(\gamma)$$

with

$$\mathcal{L}(t, R) = -\pi \sum_{p, q \neq 0} \sum_{|\mu|=0}^{Q^2} \int_0^{\sqrt{\frac{t}{2}}} \frac{\cos 2\pi((p + \mu Q)x + q\sqrt{t - x^2})}{|q|} dx,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\mathcal{B}}(\gamma)| &\ll \sum_{\substack{p, q = -R \\ q \neq 0}}^R \sum_{|\mu|=0}^{Q^2} \left| \int_0^\alpha \frac{\cos 2\pi((p + \mu Q)x + q\sqrt{t - x^2})}{q} dx \right| \\ &\quad \cdot \left[\frac{1}{\gamma|q|} + \frac{1}{\gamma \max\{1, R - q\}} + \frac{1}{\gamma \max\{1, R + q\}} \right] \\ &+ \sum_{p, q = -R}^R \sum_{\substack{|\mu|=0 \\ |\nu|=1}}^{Q^2} \frac{q}{2Q\nu} \left| \int_0^\alpha \frac{\cos 2\pi((p + \mu Q)x + (q + \nu Q)\sqrt{t - x^2})}{q + \nu Q} dx \right| \\ &\quad \cdot \left[\frac{1}{\gamma|\nu Q + q + R|} + \frac{1}{\gamma|\nu Q + q - R|} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{L}(t, R)$ is indeed independent of η .

For general $p > q \geq 1$, the derivative of the phase function $px + q\sqrt{t - x^2}$ satisfies

$$|2\pi(p - q \frac{x}{\sqrt{t - x^2}})| \gg p - q.$$

Therefore,

$$\left| \int_0^\alpha \cos 2\pi(px + q\sqrt{t - x^2}) dx \right| \ll \frac{1}{p - q}.$$

Similary, if $p < q \leq -1$,

$$\left| \int_0^\alpha \cos 2\pi(px + q\sqrt{t - x^2}) dx \right| \ll \frac{1}{|p| - |q|},$$

whereas if p and q have opposite signs, then

$$\left| \int_0^\alpha \cos 2\pi(px + q\sqrt{t - x^2}) dx \right| \ll \frac{1}{|p| + |q|}.$$

Finally, the absolute value of the second derivative of the phase function will be

$$\frac{|q|t}{(t - x^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \gg \frac{|q|}{\sqrt{t}},$$

and hence

$$\left| \int_0^\alpha \cos 2\pi(px + q\sqrt{t-x^2})dx \right| \ll \frac{t^{\frac{1}{4}}}{|q|^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

These estimates imply $|\tilde{\mathcal{B}}(\gamma)| \ll \gamma^{-1}t^{\frac{1}{4}} \ln R$, as we shall now explain.

For example,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{p,q=-R \\ q \neq 0}}^R \frac{1}{q^2} \left| \int_0^\alpha \cos 2\pi(px + q\sqrt{t-x^2})dx \right| \\ &= \sum_{R \geq |p| > |q| \geq 1} \frac{1}{q^2} \left| \int_0^\alpha \cos 2\pi(px + q\sqrt{t-x^2})dx \right| \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{|p| \leq |q| \leq R \\ q \neq 0}} \frac{1}{q^2} \left| \int_0^\alpha \cos 2\pi(px + q\sqrt{t-x^2})dx \right| \\ &\ll \sum_{R \geq |p| > |q| \geq 1} \frac{1}{q^2(|p| - |q|)} + \sum_{\substack{|p| \leq |q| \leq R \\ q \neq 0}} \frac{t^{\frac{1}{4}}}{|q|^{\frac{5}{2}}} \ll \ln R + t^{\frac{1}{4}} \ll t^{\frac{1}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, but using only the second derivative estimate,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{p,q=-R \\ q \neq 0}}^R \frac{1}{|q| \max\{R \pm q, 1\}} \left| \int_0^\alpha \cos 2\pi(px + q\sqrt{t-x^2})dx \right| \\ &\ll \sum_{\substack{p,q=-R \\ q \neq 0}}^R \frac{t^{\frac{1}{4}}}{|q|^{\frac{3}{2}} \max\{R \pm q, 1\}} \leq \sum_{\substack{p,q=-R \\ q \neq 0}}^R \frac{t^{\frac{1}{4}}}{|q|^{\frac{1}{2}} R} \left(\frac{1}{|q|} + \frac{1}{\max\{R \pm |q|, 1\}} \right) \ll t^{\frac{1}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

This takes care of the terms in the first summation in the above estimate for $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}(\gamma)$ with $\mu = 0$. For the remaining terms, $1 \leq |\mu| \leq Q^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{p,q=-R \\ q \neq 0}}^R \sum_{\substack{|\mu|=1 \\ \mu \neq 0}}^N \frac{1}{q^2} \left| \int_0^{\sqrt{t}} \frac{\cos 2\pi((p + \mu Q)x + q\sqrt{t-x^2})}{q} dx \right| \\ &\ll \sum_{\substack{p,q=-R \\ q \neq 0}}^R \sum_{\substack{|\mu|=1 \\ \mu \neq 0}}^N \frac{1}{q^2(|p + \mu Q| - |q|)} \\ &\ll \sum_{\substack{p,q=-R \\ q \neq 0}}^R \sum_{\substack{|\mu|=1 \\ \mu \neq 0}}^N \frac{1}{|\mu|Qq^2} + \frac{1}{(\mu Q)^2} \left(\frac{1}{|q|} + \frac{1}{|p + \mu Q| - |q|} \right) \ll \ln Q. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{p,q=-R \\ q \neq 0}}^R \sum_{|\mu|=1}^N \frac{1}{|q| \max\{R \pm q, 1\}} \left| \int_0^{\sqrt{t}} \frac{\cos 2\pi((p + \mu Q)x + q\sqrt{t - x^2})}{q} dx \right| \\
& \ll \sum_{\substack{p,q=-R \\ q \neq 0}}^R \sum_{|\mu|=1}^N \frac{1}{\max\{R \pm q, 1\} |q| (|p + \mu Q| - |q|)} \\
& \ll \sum_{\substack{p,q=-R \\ q \neq 0}}^R \sum_{|\mu|=1}^N \frac{1}{\max\{R \pm q, 1\} |\mu| Q} \left(\frac{1}{|q|} + \frac{1}{|p + \mu Q| - |q|} \right) \ll \ln Q.
\end{aligned}$$

For the other summation, for the terms with $\mu = 0$, we can use only the second estimate involving the second derivative to get an estimate of $O(t^{\frac{1}{4}} Q^{-\frac{1}{2}})$. This is left to the reader. For the remaining terms, we use the first derivative estimate on the integral when $|\mu| > |\nu|$ and the second derivative estimate for $|\mu| \leq |\nu|$. This leads to an estimate, up to a constant, of

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{p,q=-R}^R \sum_{\substack{|\mu|, |\nu|=1 \\ |\mu| > |\nu|}}^N \frac{1}{|\nu| |q + \nu Q| (|p + \mu Q| - |q + \nu Q|) (|\nu Q + q| - R)} \\
& + \sum_{p,q=-R}^R \sum_{\substack{|\mu|, |\nu|=1 \\ |\mu| \leq |\nu|}}^N \frac{t^{\frac{1}{4}}}{|\nu| |q + \nu Q|^{\frac{3}{2}} (|\nu Q + q| - R)} \ll \ln^2 Q + \sum_{|\mu|=1}^N \frac{t^{\frac{1}{4}} \ln Q}{|\mu|^{\frac{3}{2}} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}} \ll t^{\frac{1}{4}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the estimation of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}(\gamma)$.

What we have so far can be summed up as follows, with $\gamma = \tau = \llbracket t^\eta \rrbracket$:

$$\Sigma(-\tau, \tau) = \mathcal{L}(t, R) + 2 \int_{L(d_1, d_2)} \int_1^R \cos 2\pi r(F(x, y)) \frac{dr}{r} dx dy + O(t^{\frac{1}{4} + 2\eta + \epsilon}).$$

The integral can be replaced by one over the same region as in the discussion of the remainder term for x -summation above. Because of the factor of $\frac{1}{r}$ in the integrand, the quadratic term in the Taylor series of the phase function is not needed, and we obtain the following integral:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_1^R \int_{\theta_0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{\sqrt{t}-\tau \sin \theta}^{\sqrt{t}+\tau \sin \theta} \cos 2\pi(r(\sqrt{t} - \rho) \csc \theta) \rho d\rho d\theta \frac{dr}{r} \\
& = \sqrt{t} \int_1^R \int_{\theta_0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{-\tau \sin \theta}^{+\tau \sin \theta} \cos 2\pi(rz \csc \theta) dz d\theta \frac{dr}{r} + O(Rt^\eta) \\
& = \frac{\sqrt{t}}{2\pi} \int_1^R \int_{\theta_0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\sin 2\pi(r\tau) - (\sin 2\pi(-r\tau)) \sin \theta d\theta \frac{dr}{r^2} + O(Rt^\eta) \\
& = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \int_1^R \sin 2\pi r \tau \frac{dr}{r^2} + O(Rt^\eta).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (3.1).

There is the expansion, due to integration by parts, with all non-zero coefficients,

$$\int_1^U \sin 2\pi w r \frac{dr}{r^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a_{2n+1} w^{-2n+1} + O(U^{-2}) + O(w^{-2N-1}) .$$

Using this and the preceeding, we may restate the previous result as follows (absorbing some constants into the a_i):

(3.2) Proposition. *Let $\eta > 0$ Let α be the greatest integer in $\sqrt{t/2}$. Let $L = L(t, \alpha)$ denote the be the region in the plane with $0 \leq x \leq \alpha$ and*

$$|y - \sqrt{t - x^2}| \leq \llbracket t^\epsilon \rrbracket = \tau .$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(m,n) \in L} \frac{\sqrt{t - m^2}}{t^{\frac{1}{4}} n} \int_1^R \cos 2\pi r (\sqrt{t - m^2} - n) \frac{dr}{r} &= t^{-\frac{1}{4}} \mathcal{L}(t, R) \\ &+ t^{\frac{1}{4}} \sum_{i=0}^{N(\eta)} a_{2i+1} \tau^{-2i-1} + O(t^{2\eta+\epsilon}) . \end{aligned}$$

To summarize so far, let

$$\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_1 \cup \mathcal{J}_2 ,$$

with $(m, n) \in \mathcal{J}_1$ if $(m, n) \in \mathcal{J}$ and

$$|\sqrt{t - m^2} - n| \leq \tau = \llbracket t^\eta \rrbracket$$

and $(m, n) \in \mathcal{J}_2$ otherwise. Let

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \mathcal{E}_1(t) + \mathcal{E}_2(t) + O(\ln^2 t)$$

be the corresponding decomposition of (13).

Then, from (13) and (3.2)

$$\mathcal{E}_1(t) = t^{-\frac{1}{4}} \mathcal{L}(t, R) + t^{\frac{1}{4}} \sum_{i=0}^{N(\eta)} a_{2i+1} \tau^{-2i-1} + O(t^{\eta+2\epsilon}) . \quad (14)$$

4. Away From the Circle

To study $\mathcal{E}_2$, split $\mathcal{J}_2$ into $\mathcal{J}_2^+$ and $\mathcal{J}_2^-$, consisting of those $(m, n) \in \mathcal{J}_2$ inside and outside the circle of radius $\sqrt{t}$, respectively. We will use complex notation, specifically replacing $\cos 2\pi(\cdot)$ with $e(\cdot)$. for the term being considered. By integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\mathcal{J}_2^\pm} \frac{\sqrt{t-m^2}}{n\sqrt[4]{t}} \int_1^R e(r(\sqrt{t-m^2}-n)) \frac{dr}{r} = \\
& \sum_{\mathcal{J}_2^\pm} \left[\sum_{i=1}^N (i-1)! \frac{\sqrt{t-m^2}}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^i n\sqrt[4]{t} r^{i+1} (\sqrt{t-m^2}-n)^i} e(r\sqrt{t-m^2}) \Big|_1^R \right. \\
& \quad \left. + N! \int_1^R \frac{\sqrt{t-m^2}}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^N n\sqrt[4]{t} r^{N+1} (\sqrt{t-m^2}-n)^{N+1}} e(r(\sqrt{t-m^2}-n)) dr \right]. \tag{15}
\end{aligned}$$

For the term involving the integral on the right, the trivial estimate gives

$$\left| \int_1^R \frac{\sqrt{t-m^2}}{n\sqrt[4]{t} r^{N+1} (\sqrt{t-m^2}-n)^N} e(r(\sqrt{t-m^2}-n)) dr \right| \leq \frac{t^{1/4-N\epsilon}}{n} \ln t,$$

which will be at most $O(t^{-1/2-\epsilon}n^{-1})$ for

$$N = N(\eta) > \frac{3}{4\eta}.$$

Hence the sum over $\mathcal{J}_2^\pm$ of this term will be at worst $O(1)$.

We can rewrite the sum over $\mathcal{J}_1^+$ and over $\mathcal{J}_1^-$ of the i^{th} term as

$$\frac{(i-1)!}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^i r^{i+1} \sqrt[4]{t}} \sum_{m=0}^\alpha \left(\sum_{n=\frac{\sqrt{t}}{2}}^{n_0(m)} \frac{\sqrt{t-m^2}}{n(\sqrt{t-m^2}-n)^i} \right) e(r\sqrt{t-m^2}) \Big|_1^R$$

and

$$\frac{(i-1)!}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^i r^{i+1} \sqrt[4]{t}} \sum_{m=0}^\alpha \left(\sum_{n_1(m)}^{\lfloor 2\sqrt{t} \rfloor} \frac{\sqrt{t-m^2}}{n(\sqrt{t-m^2}-n)^i} \right) e(r\sqrt{t-m^2}) \Big|_1^R,$$

respectively, where $\alpha = \lfloor \sqrt{t/2} \rfloor$, $n_0(m) = \lfloor \sqrt{t-m^2}-\tau \rfloor$, and $n_1(m) = \lfloor \sqrt{t-m^2}+\tau \rfloor$.

If the inner sums were monotone functions of m , Abel summation and Lemma 3.5 of [GK] or even just Kusmin-Landau would apply as above to estimate these terms. This type of argument does work if the inner sums are replaced by integrals. Namely, consider

$$\frac{(i-1)!}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^i r^{i+1} \sqrt[4]{t}} \sum_{m=1}^\alpha \left(\int_{n=\frac{\sqrt{t}}{2}}^{\sqrt{t-m^2}-\tau} \frac{\sqrt{t-m^2} du}{u(\sqrt{t-m^2}-u)^i} \right) e(r\sqrt{t-m^2}) \Big|_1^R$$

and

$$\frac{(i-1)!}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^i r^{i+1} \sqrt[4]{t}} \sum_{m=1}^\alpha \left(\int_{\sqrt{t-m^2}+\tau}^{\lfloor 2\sqrt{t} \rfloor} \frac{\sqrt{t-m^2} du}{u(u-\sqrt{t-m^2})^i} \right) e(-r\sqrt{t-m^2}) \Big|_1^R.$$

Then it is not hard to show that the integrals are monotone functions of m , just differentiate them with respect to m . By Kusmin-Landau or [GK,3.5],

$$\sum_1^\alpha e(\sqrt{t-m^2}) \ll t^{\frac{1}{4}},$$

and, more generally,

$$\sum_1^\alpha e(r\sqrt{t-m^2}) \ll t^{\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{r}.$$

It follows by Abel summation that the first expression will in modulus be at most a constant times

$$\int_1^{\sqrt{\frac{t}{2}}-\tau} \frac{\sqrt{t} du}{u(\sqrt{t}-u)^i} \leq \int_1^{\sqrt{\frac{t}{2}}-\tau} \left(\frac{1}{u} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}-u} \right) du \ll \ln t,$$

and similarly, the second will be at most $O(\ln t)$ as well.

To study the error introduced by replacing sums by integrals, we use a one dimensional Euler-Maclaurin expansion, which we now review. There is a unique continuous periodic function $\psi_2(t)$ of unit period, with $\psi'_2(u) = \psi_1(u)$ for $u \notin \mathbf{Z}$ and with $\int_0^1 \psi_2(u) du = 0$. Then define $\psi_q(u)$ inductively as the unique continuous function satisfying $\psi'_j(u) = \psi_{j-1}(u)$ and $\int_0^1 \psi_q(u) du = 0$. Given real numbers $a < b$, let $\chi(u) = \chi_{[a,b]}(u) = 1$ for $u \in (a, b)$, $\chi(u) = 0$ for $u \notin [a, b]$, and $\chi(a) = \chi(b) = \frac{1}{2}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \chi(n) \phi(n) &= \int_a^b \phi(u) du + \sum_{j=1}^N (-1)^j (\psi_j(b) \phi^{(j-1)}(b) - \psi_j(a) \phi^{(j-1)}(a)) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{N+1} \int_a^b \psi_N(u) \phi^{(N)}(u) du. \end{aligned}$$

For example, we apply this to the first sum. Note that

$$\frac{d^{j-1}}{du^{j-1}} \frac{\sqrt{t-m^2}}{u(\sqrt{t-m^2}-u)^i} = i(i+1) \cdots (i+j-2) \frac{\sqrt{t-m^2}}{u(\sqrt{t-m^2}-u)^{i+j-1}} + O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right).$$

In particular, when evaluated at $u = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{t}$, this is $O(t^{\frac{-i-j}{2}})$. Also, for $N = N_i = N(\eta) - i$,

$$\left| \frac{d^N}{du^N} \frac{\sqrt{t-m^2}}{u(\sqrt{t-m^2}-u)^i} \right| \ll t^{-\frac{3}{4}}.$$

Finally, there are at most $O(t^\epsilon)$ values of m , if any, such that $\sqrt{t-m^2}$ is also an integer, i.e. there are at most this number of lattice points on the circle of radius $\sqrt{t}$.

It follows that the error in replacing the sum with the integral in the i^{th} term, for the region $\mathcal{J}_+$, will be

$$\frac{(i-1)!}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^i r^{i+1} \sqrt[4]{t}} \sum_{m=0}^{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} (-1)^j i \cdots (i+j-2) \frac{\sqrt{t-m^2} \psi_j(\sqrt{t-m^2})}{(\sqrt{t-m^2}-\tau)^{i+j-1}} e(r\sqrt{t-m^2}) \Big|_1^R + O(t^\epsilon).$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{t-m^2}-\tau} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-m^2}} + O(\tau t^{-\frac{3}{2}})$$

for $0 \leq m \leq \alpha$, without increasing the error we can replace this with

$$\frac{(i-1)!}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^i r^{i+1} \sqrt[4]{t}} \sum_{m=0}^{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} (-1)^j i \cdots (i+j-2) \frac{\psi_j(\sqrt{t-m^2})}{\tau^{i+j-1}} e(r\sqrt{t-m^2}) \Big|_1^R + O(t^\epsilon).$$

Similarly, the error for $\mathcal{J}_-$, will be

$$(-1)^i \frac{(i-1)!}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^i r^{i+1} \sqrt[4]{t}} \sum_{m=0}^{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} i \cdots (i+j-2) \frac{\psi_j(\sqrt{t-m^2})}{\tau^{i+j-1}} e(r\sqrt{t-m^2}) \Big|_1^R + O(t^\epsilon).$$

However, it is clear that for $r = R$, these terms are $O(t^{\frac{1}{4}} R^{-2})$, so finally we can consider just the sum of

$$\frac{(i-1)!}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^i \sqrt[4]{t}} \sum_{m=0}^{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} (-1)^j i \cdots (i+j-2) \frac{\psi_j(\sqrt{t-m^2})}{\tau^{i+j-1}} e(\sqrt{t-m^2}).$$

and

$$(-1)^i \frac{(i-1)!}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^i \sqrt[4]{t}} \sum_{m=0}^{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} i \cdots (i+j-2) \frac{\psi_j(\sqrt{t-m^2})}{\tau^{i+j-1}} e(\sqrt{t-m^2}).$$

We have (compare [R]) the Fourier expansions

$$\psi_{2k-1}(u) = \frac{(-1)^k}{(2\pi)^{2k-1} \sqrt{-1}} \sum_{|p|=1}^{\infty} \frac{e(pu) + e(-pu)}{p^{2k-1}}$$

and

$$\psi_{2k}(u) = \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{(2\pi)^{2k}} \sum_{|p|=1}^{\infty} \frac{e(pu) + e(-pu)}{p^{2k}}.$$

The series are absolutely convergent from ψ_2 on, and by Kusmin-Landau or [GK, 3.5], for $j > 1$ odd our error contributions will be constant multiples of

$$\tau^{-i-j+1} t^{\frac{1}{4}} \sum_1^{\infty} \frac{t^{\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{p+1}}{p^j} \ll \tau^{-i-1},$$

and will actually vanish completely if i and j have different parity. For $j = 2k \geq 2$ even and i also even, however, we will have a contribution to the error; we get, for a given i ,

$$\frac{(i-1)! \sqrt[4]{t}}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^i} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j=2k}}^{N_i} \frac{(-1)^{k-1} 2}{(2\pi)^k \tau^{i+j-1}} + O(\tau^{-i-j+1}).$$

For $j = 1$ and i even the terms cancel. For $j = 1$ and i odd, we get a contribution of

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{i+1} \tau^i t^{\frac{1}{4}}} \sum_{m=0}^{\alpha} \left[\sum_{|p|=1}^{\infty} \frac{e((1+p)\sqrt{t-m^2})}{p} \right] \\ &= \frac{2}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{i+1} \tau^i t^{\frac{1}{4}}} \sum_{m=0}^{\alpha} \left[\sum_{|p|=1}^{\infty} \frac{e(p\sqrt{t-m^2})}{p} - e(\sqrt{t-m^2}) - 1 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{p=2}^{\infty} \frac{e(p\sqrt{t-m^2})}{p(p+1)} + \sum_{p=-2}^{-\infty} \frac{e(p\sqrt{t-m^2})}{p(p-1)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The argument using Kusmin-Landau or [GK] then applies again to the appropriate terms, so finally, for a given i , we end up with

$$\frac{2}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{i+1} \tau^i t^{\frac{1}{4}}} \sum_0^{\alpha} \psi_1(\sqrt{t-m^2}) - \frac{2}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{i+1} \tau^i t^{\frac{1}{4}}} + O(\tau^{-i}).$$

We give what is probably a well known interpretation of the last sum. By trapezoidal approximation using integer points from 0 to α ,

$$\sum_1^{\alpha} \psi(\sqrt{t-m^2}) = A - L + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{2} + O(1),$$

where A is the area under the circle of radius $y = \sqrt{t-x^2}$ for $0 \leq x \leq b$ and L the number of lattice points in this regions, counting the top and sides but not the bottom. Combining this with "Pick's theorem" for the number of lattice points in the triangle with vertices the origin, $(\alpha, 0)$, and (α, α) , it follows that

$$\sum_1^{\alpha} \psi(\sqrt{t-m^2}) = \frac{\pi t}{8} - L_1 + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{t}{2}} + O(1),$$

L_1 the number of lattice points contained in the sector of the circle of radius $\sqrt{t}$ with angle $\frac{\pi}{4} < \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$. However, it is obvious that

$$P(t) = 8L_1 + 4\sqrt{\frac{t}{2}} - 4\sqrt{t},$$

and hence

$$\sum_1^\alpha \psi(\sqrt{t - m^2}) = \frac{\pi t - P(t)}{8} + O(1).$$

To sum up, we have shown, with a slight change of notation that the part $\mathcal{E}(t)$ corresponding to the portion of $\mathcal{J}$ that is far from the circle has the form

$$\mathcal{E}_2(t) = t^{\frac{1}{4}} \sum_{i=0}^{N(\eta)} d_{2i+1} \tau^{-2i-1} + t^{-\frac{1}{4}} (\pi t - P(t)) \sum_{i=0}^{N(\eta)} c_{2i+1} \tau^{-2i-1} O(t^\epsilon). \quad (16)$$

Explicit summation expressions can be written down for the various coefficients, and it is clear that in particular $c_1 \neq 0$.

5. Conclusion of the argument

The combination of (14) and (17) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(t) &= t^{-\frac{1}{4}} \mathcal{L}(t, R) + t^{\frac{1}{4}} \sum_{i=0}^{N(\eta)} (a_{2i+1} + d_{2i+1}) \tau^{-2i-1} \\ &\quad + t^{-\frac{1}{4}} (\pi t - P(t)) \sum_{i=0}^{N(\eta)} c_{2i+1} \tau^{-2i-1} + O(t^{\eta+2\epsilon}). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Recall that in this equation $\tau = \tau(\eta) = \llbracket t^\eta \rrbracket$. Now subtract this equation from the same result for $\frac{\eta}{2}$. We can take $N(\frac{\eta}{2}) = N(\eta)$ or else let the extra coefficients be zero. Also, multiply by $t^{\frac{1}{4}}$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} &(\pi t - P(t)) \sum_{i=1}^{N(\eta)} - c_{2i+1} (\llbracket t^\eta \rrbracket^{-2i-1} - \llbracket t^{\frac{\eta}{2}} \rrbracket^{-2i-1}) \\ &= t^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{N(\eta)} (a_{2i+1} - d_{2i+1}) (\llbracket t^\eta \rrbracket^{-2i-1} - \llbracket t^{\frac{\eta}{2}} \rrbracket^{-2i-1}) + O(t^{\frac{1}{4} + \eta + 2\epsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $c_1 \neq 0$, the sum in the right side is invertible for large enough t and

$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N(\eta)} c_{2i+1} (\llbracket t^\eta \rrbracket^{-2i-1} - \llbracket t^{\frac{\eta}{2}} \rrbracket^{-2i-1}) \right]^{-1} = O(t^{\frac{\eta}{2}}).$$

It is known that $\pi t - P(t)$ changes sign for arbitrarily large t ([L, VIII, 6 or 7]; see also [T, 12.6] and [H, 1916a]). Therefore, the coefficients $a_{2i+1} + d_{2i+1}$ must vanish at least until the terms not of higher order than the remainder; this vanishing could also be verified directly. In any case,

$$\pi t - P(t) = O(t^{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{3}{2}\eta + 2\epsilon})$$

follows.

References

- [BO] Carl M. Bender and Steven A. Orzag. *Advanced Mathematical Methods for Scientists and Engineers*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1978.
- [BI] E. Bombieri and H. Iwaniec, *On the order of $\zeta(1/2 + it)$* , Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci (4) 13 (1986), no. 3, 449-486
- [BV] M. Brion and M. Vergne, *Lattice Points in Simple Polytopes*. Journal of the American Math Society 10 (1997), 371-392.
- [CS1] S.E. Cappell and J. L. Shaneson. *Genera of algebraic varieties and counting of lattice points*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **30** (1994), 62-69.
- [CS2] S.E. Cappell and J. L. Shaneson. *Euler-MacLaurin expansions for lattices above dimension one*, Comptes Rendues Acad. Sci. Paris **321**(1995), 885-890.
- [CS3] S.E. Cappell and J. L. Shaneson. *Stratified maps and topological invariants*, Journal of the Amer. Math. Soc. **4**(1991), 521-551.
- [CS4] S.E. Cappell and J. L. Shaneson. *Euler-MacLaurin Formula with Remainder*, to appear.
- [CSM1] S. E. Cappell, L. Maxim, and J.L. Shaneson. *Euler Characteristics of Algebraic Varieties*, to appear, arXiv: math.AT/0606654.
- [CSM2] S. E. Cappell, L. Maxim, and J.L. Shaneson. *Hodge Genera of Algebraic Varieties, I*, to appear, arXiv: math.AT/0606655.
- [CSML] S. E. Cappell, A. Libgober, L. Maxim, and J.L. Shaneson. *Hodge Genera of Algebraic Varieties, II*, to appear, arXiv: math.AT/0702380.
- [CSW] S.E. Cappell, J.L. Shaneson, and S. Weinberger. *Classes caractéristiques pour les actions des groupes sur les espaces singulières*, Comptes Rendues Acad. Sci. Paris **313**(1991), 293-295.
- [D] V.I. Danilov. *The geometry of toric varieties*, Russian Math. Surveys **33**(1978), 97-154.
- [DR] R. Diaz and S. Robbins. *The Ehrhart Polynomial of a Lattice Polytope*. Annals of Math 145 (1997), 503-518. Erratum, *ibid.* 146 (1997), p. 237.
- [F] W. Fulton. *Introduction to Toric Varieties*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1993.
- [Gaus1] C.F. Gauss, *De nexu inter multitudinem classium in quas formae binariae secundi gradus distribuuntur earumque determinantem*. Werke (1863), Vol 2, pp. 269-291 (especially, p. 280)
- [Gaus2] C.F. Gauss, *Disquisitiones arithmeticae*. (German edition by H. Maser). (1886) p. 657
- [GKM] M. Goresky, R. Kottwitz, and R. MacPherson. *Equivariant cohomology, Koszul duality, and the localization theorem*, Inv. Math. 131 (1998), 25-83.
- [GK] S.W. Graham and G. Kolesnik. *Van der Corput's Method of Exponential Sums*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 1991.
- [H1915] G.H. Hardy, *On the expression of a number as a sum of two squares*, Quarterly J. Math. (Oxford) 46, 263-283 (1915)

- [H1916a] G.H. Hardy, *On Dirichlet's divisor problem*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 15 (2), 1-25
- [H1916b] G. H. Hardy, *The average order of the functions $P(x)$ and $\Delta(x)$* , Proc. London Math. Soc. 15 (2), 192-213
- [H] F. Hirzebruch. Topological Methods in Algebraic Geometry. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.
- [HZ] F. Hirzebruch and D. Zagier. The Atiyah-Singer Theorem and Elementary Number Theory. Publish or Perish Press, Boston, 1974.
- [Ho] L. Hormander. The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators I. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.
- [Hu1990] M. N. Huxley, *Exponential Sums and Lattice Points*. Proc. London Math. Soc. 60, 471-502, 1990 and *Corrigenda: 'Exponential Sums and Lattice Points'*. Proc. London Math. Soc. 66, 70, 1993.
- [Hu1997] M.N. Huxley, Area lattice points and exponential sums, London Mathematical Society Monographs, new series, 13, Oxford Science Publications, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1996
- [Hu2001] M.N. Huxley, Lecture at 2001 Amer.Inst.Math. conference held at Courant Institute, NYU
- [In] A. V. Sardo-Infirri. *Lefschetz fixed point theorems and the number of lattice points in convex polytopes*. Adv. Math. 116 (1995), 55-81. [IM] H. Iwaniec and C.J.Mazzochi, *On the divisor and circle problems*, J. Number Theory 29 (1988), no 1, 60-93
- [JEM] Jahnke-Emde-Lösch. Tables of Higher Functions, Sixth Edition, revised by F. Lösch, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1960
- [KK] J. M. Kantor and A.G. Khovanskii. *Une application du théorème de Riemann-Roch au polynôme d'Ehrhart des polytopes entiers de $\mathbf{R}^d$* , Comptes Rendues Acad. Sci. Paris **317**(1993),293-295.
- [KSW] Y. Karshon, J. Weitsman, and S. Sternberg. *The Euler MacLauren Formula for Simple Integral Polytopes*.Proc. Nat. Acad. of Sciences USA 100 (2003), 426-433. (See also Duke Math Journal 130 (2005), 401-434.)
- [Keng1] Hua Loo Keng. *The lattice points in a circle*. Quart J. Math., Oxford Ser. 13 (1942) pp. 18-29.
- [Keng2] Hua Loo Keng. *Die Abschätzung von Exponentialsummen und ihre Anwendung in der Zahlentheorie* Enzycl. Math. Wiss. Anw. , Vol 1, part 2, *Analytische Zahlentheorie*. Leipzig (1959)
- [K] A.G. Khovanskii. *Newton polyhedra and toric varieties*, Funtional Anal. Appl. 4 (1977), 56-67.
- [KP] A.G. Khovanskii and A.V. Pukhlikov. *A Riemann-Roch theorem for integrals and sums of quasipolynomials over virtual polytopes*, St. Petersburg Math. Journal **4**(1993), 789-812.
- [K] G. Kolesnik, *On the method of exponential pairs*, Acta Arithmetica **XLV** (1985), 115-143.

- [L] E. Landau. *Vorlesung über Zahlentheorie*, vols 1-3. Chelsea Publishing, 1947-50 [c. 1927], New York.
- [Lan1] E. Landau. *Die Bedeutung der Pfeiffer'schen Methode für die analytische Zahlentheorie*. Sitzber. K. Akad. Wiss. Wien. Math. Natw. Klasse 121 (1912) pp. 2298-2328.
- [Lan2] E. Landau. *Über die Zerlegung der Zahlen in zwei Quadrate*. Annali di Matematica. Ser. 3 Vol. 20 (1913) pp. 1-28.
- [Lan3] E. Landau. *Über die Gitterpunkte in einem Kreise (II)*. Gottinger Nachr. (1915) pp. 161-171. (See also Note on this in [Lan4] pp. 110-111 or [LW] pp. 487-488.)
- [Lan4] E. Landau. *Ausgewählte Abhandlungen zur Gitterpunktlehre*. (edited by A. Walfisz) Deutscher Verl. Wiss. 1962.
- [Lin] Yin Wen Lin. *The lattice points in a circle*. Sci. Sinica 11 (1962) pp. 10-15.
- [LW] Littlewood, J. E. and Walfisz. *The lattice points of a circle*, Proc. Roy. Soc. (A) 106, 478-488, (1924). Followed by a note by Landau, pp. 487-488.
- [Mo] L.J. Mordell, *Lattice points in a tetrahedron and generalized Dedekind sums*, J. Indian Math. **15** (1951), 41-46.
- [M] R. Morelli. *The Todd class of a toric variety*. Adv. Math. **100** (1993), 182-231.
- [Nie] L.W. Nieland. *Zum Kreisproblem*. Math. Ann. 98 (1928) pp. 717-736.
- [Now] W.-G. Nowak. *Lattice points in a circle and divisors in arithmetic progressions* Manuscr. Math. 49 (1984) pp. 195-205.
- [Pfe] E. Pfeiffer. *Über die Periodizität in der Teilbarkeit der Zahlen und über die Verteilung der Klassen positiver quadratischer Formen auf ihre Determinanten*. Jahresber. der Pfeiffer'schen Lehr- und Erziehungsanstalt zu Jena (1886) pp. 1-21.
- [P] G. Pick. *Geometrisches zur Zahlenlehre*, Sitzungber. Lotos Prag. 2, **19** (1870), 311-319.
- [Po] J.E. Pommersheim. *Toric varieties, lattice points, and Dedekind sums*, Math. Ann. **296** (1993), 1-24.
- [R] H. Rademacher. *Topics in Analytic Number Theory*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.
- [Run] Chen Jing Run. *The lattice points in a circle*, Sci Sinica 12 (1963) pp. 633-649.
- [S] J. L. Shaneson. *Characteristic classes, lattice points, and Euler-MacLaurin formulae*, Proceeding of the Int. Cong. of Mathematicians, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 1995, 612-624.
- [Sierp1] W. Sierpinski. *O pewnym zagadnieniu z rachunku funkcji asymptotycznych* Prace mat. fiz. 17 (1906) pp. 77-118; Summary in French: *Sur un probleme du calcul des fonctions asymptotiques*. pp. 115-118.
- [Sierp2] A. Schinzel. *Wacław Sierpinski's Work in Number Theory* (Polish), Wiadomości Matematyczne 26 (1) (1984), 24-31.
- [Sr] B.R. Srinivasan. *Lattice point problems of many-dimensional hyperboloids III*, Math. Ann. **160** (1965), 280-311.

- [Ti] E.C. Titchmarsh, *The lattice points in a circle*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 38 (1935), 96-115
- [T] E.C. Titchmarsh. The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function. Second Edition revised by D.R. Heath-Brown. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1986.
- [VdC1] J.G. Van Der Corput. Math. Ann. 87 (1922), 39-65
- [VdC2] J.G. Van Der Corput. *Neue zahlentheoretische Abschätzungen*. Math. Ann. 89 (1923), pp. 215-254-65
- [Vor] G. Voronoi. *Sur un probleme du calcul des fonctions asymptotiques*. J.r.a.M. 126 (1903) pp. 241-282
- [Wa1] A. Walfisz. *Über zwei Gitterpunktprobleme*. Math. Ann. 95 (1927) pp. 69-83.
- [Wa2] A. Walfisz. *Teilerprobleme* Math. Z. 26 (1927) pp. 66-88
- [Wa3] A. Walfisz. *Weylsche Exponentialsummen in der Neuren Zahlentheorie*. VEB Deutsche Verlag der Wissentschaften, Berlin, 1963
- [W] G.N. Watson. A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions. Second Edition, Macmillan, New York, 1944.

Courant Institute, NYU
University of Pennsylvania

Version of 7/10/06